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Foreword

This Statement of Recommended Practice ('SORP') for investment trust companies and venture capital trusts ('Investment Companies') is issued by The Association of Investment Companies ('Association') as a replacement to its SORP issued in December 2005.

The Association is recognised by the Accounting Standards Board ('ASB') as a SORP-issuing body and agrees to comply with its code of practice for reviewing and publishing SORPs. The latest code of practice was set out in the ASB's July 2000 Statement SORPs: Policy and Code of Practice.

Since the last SORP was issued there have been sufficient changes to accounting standards and other regulations affecting investment trusts to warrant a new version. In addition, the Association has expanded the scope of its SORP to include venture capital trusts ('VCTs') for the first time. The aim of the replacement SORP, of course, remains the same, namely to seek to harmonise accounting practice within the industry, by identifying and setting out best practice across a wide range of issues and encouraging Investment Companies to apply its recommendations.

Investment Companies are unusual in that, although they are listed companies, the majority do not produce consolidated accounts and are not therefore automatically brought within the scope of International Financial Reporting Standards ('IFRS'). The Association therefore expects that, for the foreseeable future, some Investment Companies will be preparing their financial statements in accordance with UK GAAP and some in accordance with IFRS. SORPs do not feature in the IFRS regime, but the Association believes that many Investment Companies preparing their financial statements in accordance with IFRS will, nevertheless, wish to follow the recommendations of the SORP to the fullest extent possible. In preparing the replacement SORP, the Association has not considered the requirements of IFRS. It believes it is for individual IFRS reporting Investment Companies to consider the implications of following the SORP but, in order to promote consistency across the industry, the Association strongly encourages such Investment Companies to comply with the revised SORP except where there is a direct conflict with IFRS.

The Association would like to put on record its gratitude for the time and effort spent in the review of the SORP by the SORP Working Party, whose members were selected so as to represent a broad range of interest groups.

The Association believes that the new SORP will continue to provide an invaluable guide to all those involved in the preparation of the financial statements of Investment Companies. The Directors endorse the SORP and, on behalf of the Association, commend its contents.

Carol Ferguson

January 2009
Introduction

1 The SORP is issued by the Association and it sets out recommendations, intended to represent current best practice, on the form and contents of the financial statements of Investment Companies.

2 The provisions of the SORP have been arrived at after consideration of the Statements of Standard Accounting Practice and Financial Reporting Standards (‘FRSs’) and other applicable laws and regulations in force at December 2008. Regard must be paid to applicable accounting standards, laws and regulations since SORPs cannot override their requirements.

3 Although the recommendations in the SORP are not mandatory, FRS 18 requires that an Investment Company should state in its financial statements the title of this SORP and whether they have been prepared in accordance with the SORP’s provisions currently in effect. Investment Companies are also required to disclose a brief description of any departure from the recommendations and the reason why the treatment adopted is judged more appropriate. The provisions of the SORP need not be applied to immaterial items.

4 The recommendations in the SORP are also subject to the overriding requirement that the accounts must present a true and fair view.

5 The intention of the SORP is to recommend best practice, not to identify all possible permissible accounting practices. In addition, inevitably Investment Companies will come across situations where there is uncertainty over the interpretation of a specific recommendation of the SORP. This may, for example, be because, as the industry evolves, an Investment Company might find itself dealing with a type of transaction that did not exist when the SORP was written. In such situations the Association expects Investment Companies to apply the SORP according to the spirit of its intention, rather than mechanistically applying the wording.

In all cases of uncertainty, the Association recommends that additional disclosures be made to ensure that the users of the financial statements are fully aware of the accounting treatment adopted.

6 Neither the Association nor the members of any committee or working party thereof can accept any responsibility or liability whatsoever (whether in respect of negligence or otherwise) to any entity complying in whole or part with the SORP or third party as a result of anything contained in or omitted from the SORP nor for the consequences of reliance or otherwise on the provisions of the SORP.
Statement by the Accounting Standards Board

The aims of the Accounting Standards Board (‘ASB’) are to establish and improve standards of financial accounting and reporting, for the benefit of users, preparers, and auditors of financial information. To this end, the ASB issues accounting standards that are primarily applicable to general purpose company financial statements. In particular industries or sectors, further guidance may be required in order to implement accounting standards effectively. This guidance is issued, in the form of Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs), by bodies recognised for the purpose by the ASB.

The Association of Investment Companies (‘Association’) has confirmed that it shares the ASB’s aims of advancing and maintaining standards of financial reporting in the public interest and has been recognised by the ASB for the purpose of issuing SORPs. As a condition of recognition, the Association has agreed to follow the ASB’s code of practice for bodies recognised for issuing SORPs.

The code of practice sets out procedures to be followed in the development of SORPs. These procedures do not include a comprehensive review of the proposed SORP by the ASB, but a review of limited scope is performed.

The ASB believes that all the movements in revenue and capital and the total return should be considered in assessing total financial performance and so does not take a view on the basis of allocation of costs and returns between capital and revenue set out in the SORP. However, it accepts that this distinction is of fundamental importance to users of financial statements of Investment Companies, as noted in paragraph 5 of the SORP.

On the basis of its review, the ASB has concluded that the SORP has been developed in accordance with the ASB’s code of practice and does not appear to contain any fundamental points of principle that are unacceptable in the context of present accounting practice or to conflict with an accounting standard or the ASB’s plans for future standards.

January 2009
Definition of Terms

The **1995 SORP** means the Statement of Recommended Practice issued by the **Association** in December 1995.

A **1996-2002 Split Capital Investment Trust** means a **Split Capital Investment Trust** listed on the London Stock Exchange as an investment trust on 31 December 2002 that is not a **Pre-1996 Split Capital Investment Trust**.

The **2003 SORP** means the Statement of Recommended Practice issued by the Association in January 2003 and the revised version issued in December 2005.

**Accounts and Reports Regulations** means the Large and Medium-sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) Regulations 2008 as amended by subsequent orders, regulations and legislation.

**Annual Financial Statements** (or **Financial Statements**) means that part of an **Investment Company’s** Annual Report, prepared in accordance with United Kingdom law and accounting standards, that is intended to give a true and fair view of the financial position of the **Investment Company** and of its income and expenditure for a period.

The **Association** means The Association of Investment Companies which was established in 1932 to represent the interests of the investment trust industry but now also represents the interests of VCTs and other investment companies.

The **Board** means the board of directors of an **Investment Company**.

**CAIC** means an investment company as defined by Section 833 **Companies Act 2006**.

**CAIC Status** means the status conferred on a company by virtue of it being a **CAIC**.

The **Companies Act 2006** means the Companies Act 2006 as amended by subsequent orders, regulations and legislation.

The **Diluted Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share** of an **Investment Company** is the **Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share** of the **Investment Company** on the assumption that each right which the **Investment Company** has granted, and which if exercised on the date in question would dilute the **Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share**, has been fully exercised. Such rights may be in respect of:

(a) debentures or loan stock or preference shares convertible into shares of the **Investment Company**, and /or

(b) options or warrants to subscribe for shares of the **Investment Company**.
The **Effective Interest Rate** on an instrument is defined in **FRS 26** as ‘the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the financial instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the financial asset or financial liability.’

An **Enhanced Scrip Dividend** means a **Scrip Dividend** incorporating a bonus element such that the market value of the shares exceeds the cash amount of the dividend the shareholder has elected to forego.

The **Fair Value** of an asset is defined in **FRS 26** as ‘the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm’s length transaction’.

**Finance Costs**, as defined in paragraph 8 of **FRS 4**, are ‘the difference between the net proceeds of an instrument and the total amount of the payments (or other transfers of economic benefits) that the issuer may be required to make in respect of the instrument’. However, **Investment Companies** should recognise such costs in accordance with the provisions of **FRS 26**.

**Financial Statements** - see **Annual Financial Statements** above.


**Hedge Accounting** applies when the requirements and conditions set out in **FRS 26** are met.


An **Investment** is an asset that is characterised by its ability to generate economic benefits in the form of distributions and/or appreciation in value (see Note below).

**Investment Company** means an **ITC** or a **VCT**.

An **Investment Fund** means an **ITC**, investment company, limited partnership, open-ended investment company, unit trust, mutual fund or other similar vehicle for collective investment.

**Investment Management Fees** are:

(a) the fees paid to a third party for services provided in relation to the management of the investment portfolio of the **Investment Company** or the giving of investment advice; and/or

(b) the salary costs and other related costs incurred by the **Investment Company** in the employment of individuals who are responsible for or engaged in the management of the investment portfolio of the **Investment Company**.
ITC means a company which is incorporated in the United Kingdom, prepares its Annual Financial Statements in accordance with UK Accounting Standards and which has been approved under Section 842 or is directing its affairs so as to enable it to obtain such approval.

Listing Rules means the rules which are made by the competent authority for the purposes of Part VI of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000.

Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Class of Share means the net assets of the Investment Company after deducting minority interests, prior ranking shares (attributing to those shares the entitlement of the holders under the Articles of Association of the Investment Company on a return of assets, on liquidation or otherwise) and debt (attributing to debt the appropriate entitlement, on a going concern basis, under the relevant debt instrument); and, in the case of a prior ranking share, its entitlement under the Articles of Association of the Investment Company on a return of assets, on liquidation or otherwise subject to sufficient assets being available.

Where treasury shares exist, and notwithstanding their treatment in the Financial Statements, it may be necessary to adjust the Investment Company’s net assets, and hence the net asset value attributable to certain classes of share, to reflect appropriately any stated terms on which they can be re-issued. Guidance on this is available from the Association.

Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share means the Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Class of Share divided by the number of shares in issue in that class. Where treasury shares exist then, depending on the circumstances (see previous paragraph), it may be necessary to adjust the number of shares in issue for the number of treasury shares held.

Performance Fee means any part of the overall Investment Management Fee the payment of which is directly linked to the Investment Company achieving or exceeding a performance target. The target can be, inter alia, in the form of an absolute or relative return over a set period or periods or based on realisation levels.

A Post-2002 Split Capital Investment Trust is a Split Capital Investment Trust that is not a Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust.

A Pre-1996 Split Capital Investment Trust means a Split Capital Investment Trust listed on the London Stock Exchange as an investment trust on 1 January 1996 and on 31 December 2002 and which did not, at any time between those dates, cease to be a Split Capital Investment Trust.

A Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust means a Split Capital Investment Trust which was listed on the London Stock Exchange as an investment trust on 31 December 2002.

A Quoted Investment is defined in FRS 26 (paragraph AG 71) as an Investment ‘quoted in an active market if quoted prices are readily and regularly available from an exchange, dealer, broker, industry group, pricing service or regulatory agency, and those prices represent actual and regularly occurring market transactions on an arm’s length basis’.
A **Scrip Dividend** is a distribution of shares by a company to a shareholder which the shareholder has elected to receive instead of cash in respect of the whole or part of any dividend payable or proposed to be payable by the company.

**Section 274** means section 274 *Income Tax Act 2007*.

**Section 842** means section 842 *Taxes Act 1988*.

A **Split Capital Investment Trust** is an **ITC** which, including classes of share capital issued by any subsidiary, has, on a stand-alone or consolidated basis (if applicable), at least two classes of share capital which have different entitlements to share in the assets and/or income of the **ITC**, or its Group, after meeting the entitlements of holders of its debt, if any. The **ITC** itself or one or more classes of share capital must have a fixed or limited life. A ‘C’ share issue or a temporary or short-term issue of any class of share capital, pending conversion into an existing class of share capital, is not considered to be a different class of share capital for this purpose.

**Stock Lending** involves a transaction under which title to securities is transferred from the **Investment Company** to another party in exchange for a fee linked to the passage of time. The economic benefit of the securities transferred remains with the **Investment Company** and the party obtaining title is obliged to deliver back at a specified time equivalent securities of the same class and type; and the term shall include comparable transactions in other jurisdictions.

**SSAP** means Statement of Standard Accounting Practice.

The **Taxes Act 1988** is the *Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1988* as amended by subsequent orders, regulations and legislation.

**UITF** means the abstracts issued by the ASB that set out the consensus reached by its Urgent Issues Task Force.

**UK Accounting Standards** means **FRSs** issued by the ASB, **SSAPs** adopted by the ASB and **UITFs**.

An **Unquoted Investment** is an **Investment** other than a **Quoted Investment**.

**VCT** means a company which is incorporated in the United Kingdom, prepares its **Annual Financial Statements** in accordance with **UK Accounting Standards** and which has been approved under **Section 274** or is directing its affairs so as to enable it to obtain such approval.

**ZDPS** - see **Zero Dividend Preference Shares** below.

**Zero Dividend Preference Shares** (or **ZDPS**) are preference shares which carry no entitlement to dividends but which carry the right, on a fixed date or on any earlier redemption, to the repayment of capital and a premium (designed to compensate the holders for the absence of a dividend) in priority to any capital payment to the holders of ordinary shares but after any debt and subject to assets being available.

**Notes:**
Definition sourced from Exposure Draft 55 ‘Accounting for investments’
I) INTRODUCTION

1 Notes

Notes in italics following specific recommendations in the SORP are intended to guide readers as to the intention lying behind the specific recommendation and to provide background information which is considered helpful.

2 Other accounting requirements

As listed public limited companies, Investment Companies are subject to many requirements relating to the content and presentation of their Financial Statements. These include requirements contained in:

- European company law
- UK company law
- UK Accounting Standards
- The Listing Rules

3 Status of SORP

The SORP, as recommended practice, cannot override the requirements identified in paragraph 2 above and Investment Companies must therefore continue to comply with these obligations. The SORP only applies where an Investment Company is preparing Financial Statements i.e. those prepared in accordance with United Kingdom law and accounting standards (see Section XVIII below regarding interim and other accounts).

Note: although the SORP is not directly applicable to Investment Companies preparing accounts under International Accounting Standards (‘IAS’), the Association considers that, except in the rare circumstances when there is a direct conflict with IAS, the SORP will continue to represent best practice. Consequently, investment companies reporting in accordance with IAS might wish to make a statement in their financial statements that, where guidance set out in the SORP is consistent with the requirements of IAS, the financial statements have been prepared in compliance with the recommendations of the SORP.
4 Unique nature of Investment Companies

Whilst Investment Companies are subject to the above-noted provisions, there are certain key differences which make the reporting of financial performance by Investment Companies not directly comparable with that of other companies. Investment Companies are fundamentally different from other companies in that, with respect to their primary business, they do not provide goods or services and have no customers other than their shareholders. Rather, they function as investment vehicles for their investors.

5 Revenue v capital distinction

Another difference from other companies relates to the separation of capital and revenue profits and losses.

Note: The separation of capital and revenue profits and losses is of fundamental importance to shareholders and other users of the Financial Statements of all Investment Companies and is considered essential in assessing financial performance.

Legal and tax note

For an ITC the distribution of capital profits by way of dividend is prohibited by company and tax legislation. The prohibitions are set out in section 833 (2) (c) Companies Act 2006 and section 842 (1) (d) Taxes Act 1988. It should be noted that the wording of the two prohibitions are different.

For those VCTs that have CAIC Status, the prohibition is also set out in section 833 (2) (c) Companies Act 2006. The remaining VCTs are, subject to the rules and tests applicable to distributions generally, able to distribute capital profits by way of dividend. In addition, all VCTs are subject to the ‘retention test’ set out in Section 274 which places a limit on the level of income from shares and securities that can be retained.
A primary purpose of the SORP, which continues from the 1995 SORP and 2003 SORP (as revised), is to provide a basis for standardisation of financial reporting across the industry whilst recognising the distinction between capital and revenue. Accounting practice for ITCs has evolved significantly since the issue of the 2003 SORP, a period which has also seen further significant change in the composition of the industry and hence the accounting issues arising. In addition, there have been further changes to UK Accounting Standards themselves following the ASB’s project to converge them with IAS. The key issues are addressed within the SORP, which seeks to pull together the strands of the evolutionary approach to form a considered industry-wide view of best practice.

VCTs are included within the scope of the SORP for the first time – see paragraph 7 below.

The principal objective of the SORP is to improve the quality and consistency of information presented in the Financial Statements of Investment Companies.

Generally accepted accounting principles (‘GAAP’), including the provisions of the Companies Act 2006 and UK Accounting Standards, apply to Investment Companies and the recommendations of the SORP have been developed within the framework of GAAP.

The SORP does not, however, deal comprehensively with all the requirements of GAAP, so reference should also be made to those requirements.
II) SCOPE

7 Applicability

The recommendations contained within the SORP apply to the Annual Financial Statements of Investment Companies.

Note: the conditions laid down by Section 842 which an ITC must meet in order to obtain approval as an investment trust from HMRC are tested by reference to each (tax) accounting period. In addition, approval is granted retrospectively, sometimes years after the end of the relevant accounting period. It is therefore inevitably the case that the SORP is being applied to the Financial Statements of an ITC directing its affairs so as to qualify for, but which will not yet have obtained, approval. Providing, in the opinion of its Board and supported by the facts, an ITC is conducting its affairs so as to obtain approval, an inadvertent breach of the tests and the subsequent failure to obtain approval should not affect the applicability of the SORP to either the current period in question or to any future or past periods. It follows that an ITC should apply the SORP to all relevant accounting periods.

VCTs share many of the characteristics of ITCs (e.g. their status as closed-ended collective investment funds) and the separation of capital and revenue is of fundamental importance to the users of their Financial Statements. Consequently, VCTs have been brought within the scope of a SORP issued by the Association for the first time.

As with ITCs, VCTs will be given HMRC approval sometimes a considerable period after the end of the relevant accounting period. However, as is the case with ITCs as described above, providing, in the opinion of its Board and supported by the facts, a VCT is conducting its affairs so as to obtain approval, an inadvertent breach of the tests and the subsequent failure to obtain approval should not affect the applicability of the SORP to either the current period in question or to any future or past periods. It follows that a VCT should apply the SORP to all relevant accounting periods.

Non-UK companies are not within the scope of the SORP, although some offshore investment companies share many of the characteristics of Investment Companies and prepare their financial statements in accordance with UK Accounting Standards. Although no account has been taken in the preparation of the SORP of any special considerations relating to these entities, to the extent that they share the characteristics of Investment Companies it is not the intention to suggest that they should not comply with the recommendations of the SORP to the extent permitted by accounting standards and their local company law.

As listed companies, investment companies are required to prepare their consolidated accounts (if any) in accordance with IAS. With regard to their parent company or solus accounts these can be prepared in accordance with IAS or UK Accounting Standards at the option of the company.
To the extent that, by virtue of paragraph R102 of the 1995 SORP, a Pre-1996 Split Capital Investment Trust did not adopt certain of the recommended practices as set out in the 1995 SORP, it may continue to apply its existing accounting practices in those areas. However, where, post 2002, the trust is the subject of a capital reconstruction or reorganisation of its own share capital which materially affects the financial rights and benefits attaching to the different classes of share, it should comply with the SORP in full.

Other Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trusts should comply with the SORP in full except to the extent that the financial benefits or rights attaching to different classes of share would be affected by the implementation of a particular recommended practice of the SORP. Again, where, post 2002, the trust is the subject of a capital reconstruction or reorganisation of its own share capital which materially affects the financial rights and benefits attaching to the different classes of share, it should comply with the SORP in full.

All other Investment Companies should comply with the SORP in full.

Note: for Split Capital Investment Trusts the returns due to the different classes of share can be significantly affected by changes in accounting treatments. This contrasts with the position of a conventional investment trust where, although the quantum of the returns in the form of dividends and capital growth can be affected, the total return to the single class of share will normally be unchanged.

It therefore could be the case that certain recommendations of the SORP, if adopted by a Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust, would significantly change the returns received by different classes of share contrary to both the expectations of shareholders and representations made by the company in, for example, its Prospectus. The Board of such an ITC may therefore feel that to adopt a specific recommendation of the SORP would lead to the inequitable treatment of holders of different classes of share. In such cases Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trusts may continue to account for items related to such specific recommendations in the previously established manner (‘grandfathering’).

The 1995 SORP made it clear that the grandfathering provisions only applied to Split Capital Investment Trusts in existence at the time of its introduction. 1996-2002 Split Capital Investment Trusts were therefore expected to comply with the 1995 SORP in full. It follows that 1996-2002 Split Capital Investment Trusts should only grandfather items for which the recommended practice of the SORP has changed from the 1995 SORP or for which a recommendation has been introduced where there was no specific recommended practice in the 1995 SORP (e.g. Performance Fees).
Post-2002 Split Capital Investment Trusts are expected to follow the recommended practices of the SORP in defining the rights attaching to different classes of security and are therefore expected to comply with the SORP in full.

If a Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust is the subject of a capital reconstruction or reorganisation of its own share capital, this will normally involve significant changes to the financial rights and benefits of the existing classes of share and require the approval of shareholders. In such circumstances the ITC is expected to follow the recommended practices of the SORP in defining the rights and benefits that will attach to the different classes of security following the restructure or reorganisation. It follows that the rationale for grandfathering no longer applies and that the recommendations of the SORP should be followed in full.

The recommendations of this paragraph are only intended to deal with situations where compliance with the SORP’s recommended practice could lead to the inequitable treatment of shareholders by changing their financial rights or benefits. Therefore, if a Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust encounters an item which is the subject of a recommendation of the SORP for the first time in an accounting period beginning on or after 1 January 2003, it should comply with the SORP in respect of that item unless to do so could lead to the inequitable outcome described above. The trust may continue to grandfather other items.

If the Board of a Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust decides to change the accounting treatment of a previously grandfathered item, then the rationale for grandfathering no longer applies and the Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust should comply with the SORP in respect of that item. Again, the trust may continue to grandfather other items.

Split Capital Investment Trusts should make all the disclosures recommended by the SORP, albeit adapted as necessary for the impact of any grandfathering, unless, exceptionally, grandfathering renders compliance with a specific recommendation impossible.

As previously stated the SORP cannot override company law or UK Accounting Standards and nothing in it should be considered as approving or condoning accounting treatments applied by a Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust by virtue of the grandfathering provisions. It follows that the Board of a Pre-2003 Split Capital Investment Trust must satisfy itself that any accounting treatment adopted complies with company law (in particular with regard to the prohibition of the distribution of capital profits) and UK Accounting Standards both in the current accounting period and any future accounting period to which grandfathering applies.
9 Non-compliance with SORP

In its Financial Statements, an Investment Company should state the title of the SORP and whether the Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with those of the SORP’s provisions currently in effect.

Where an Investment Company does not comply with the SORP it should state in its Financial Statements that it does not comply and give the reasons for its non-compliance, including a brief description of why the adopted treatment is judged more appropriate in the Investment Company’s particular circumstances.

Where the non-compliance relates to non-disclosure, the Investment Company should state the disclosures not shown and the reasons why they have not been provided.

Note: the effect of a departure need not be quantified unless such quantification is necessary for the entity’s Financial Statements to give a true and fair view.

This recommendation is consistent with the ASB’s position regarding SORPs as set out in FRS 18 (paragraphs 58 - 60).

10 Non-material items

The recommendations contained within the SORP do not apply to non-material items.

11 Going concern

Where an Investment Company is not expected to continue in operational existence in the foreseeable future, or where there is sufficient doubt, the Investment Company should make the disclosures in accordance with paragraph 61 of FRS 18.

Note: paragraph 21 of FRS 18 states that:

‘An entity should prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis, unless:

(a) the entity is being liquidated or has ceased trading, or

(b) the directors either intend to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or have no realistic alternative but to do so,

in which circumstances the entity should prepare its financial statements on a basis other than that of a going concern.’
In addition, paragraph 14 of FRS 21 states that:

‘An entity shall not prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis if management determines after the balance sheet date either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so.’

In many cases an Investment Company will be considering liquidation not because of any doubts about its ability to continue as a going concern, indeed it may be financially very sound, but rather because it was set up with a fixed or limited life which is coming to its end or it is in shareholders’ best interests to do so for some other reason. In any event it will normally be the case that shareholders will have to vote in favour of the liquidation before it can occur.

It follows that even if an Investment Company is approaching a wind-up, and where shareholders have yet to vote on the issue, it will usually be more appropriate for the Financial Statements to be prepared on a going concern basis whilst making the disclosures set out in paragraph 61 of FRS 18; and that adoption of a non-going concern basis is expected to be a rare event. However, where shareholders have already approved the wind-up or where the Board has concluded that there is no realistic alternative (for example a wind-up is inevitable within 12 months of the reporting date because the only option available to shareholders other than a wind-up is some form of reconstruction involving another entity) it is expected that adoption of a non-going concern basis will be appropriate.

If shareholders approve a wind-up or the Board concludes that there is no realistic alternative after the balance sheet date, then, in accordance with the requirements set out in paragraph 14 of FRS 21, the financial statements should be prepared on a non-going concern basis.

The factors which might have to be taken into account in determining whether and what disclosures should be made include the proximity of the liquidation date, the probability of the liquidation and the significance of the difference between the valuation of the Investment Company’s assets and liabilities on a going concern basis and the estimated value of the assets and liabilities on a realisation basis. The differences could include, for example, investments valued at a discounted bid basis, the break-up value of any loans (vs book cost), costs associated with unwinding any interest rate swaps, payments to compensate warrant holders and the costs of the liquidation.

In those cases where it is considered appropriate to prepare Financial Statements on a non-going concern basis, then these will usually be prepared on a ‘break-up’ basis and the ‘differences’ described in the previous paragraph will fall to be recognised in the accounts. In addition it may be necessary to reclassify loans and share capital. Except to the extent that its provisions need to be modified to enable an Investment Company to provide information on a non-going concern basis, the SORP should be complied with in full.

12 Commencement date

The recommendations are applicable for all accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009 but early adoption is encouraged.
III) CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

13 Effect on prior periods

If an existing accounting policy is changed, the corresponding amounts for the previous period, both in the primary statements and in the notes, should be restated using the revised accounting policy and any adjustment to the amount of opening reserves should be accounted for as a prior year adjustment in accordance with FRS 3. In addition, the disclosures required by paragraph 55(c) of FRS 18, which include a brief explanation of why the new accounting policy is thought more appropriate, should be provided.

No restatements should be made that would solely reflect a change to the allocation between capital and revenue.

Note: Part 2 Accounts and Reports Regulations requires, inter alia, as follows:

(a) Paragraph 12 requires that accounting policies shall be applied consistently from one financial year to the next.

(b) Paragraph 10 requires that, if it appears to the directors of a company that there are special reasons for departing from any of the accounting principles stated in Part 2 in preparing the company’s accounts in respect of any financial year, they may do so, but that particulars of the departure, the reasons for it and its effect shall be given in a note to the accounts.

FRS 3 defines ‘prior period adjustments’ as ‘material adjustments applicable to prior periods arising from changes in accounting policies or from the correction of fundamental errors’; and states that ‘prior period adjustments should be accounted for by restating the comparative figures for the preceding period in the primary statements and notes and adjusting the opening balance of reserves for the cumulative effect’. Investment Companies should also provide, where practicable, an indication of the effect of a change in accounting policy on the results for the current period (FRS 18 paragraph 55 (c)(iii)).

The implementation by an Investment Company of the recommended practices contained within the SORP may constitute changes in accounting policies. In addition, an Investment Company may decide to change an accounting policy for reasons unconnected with the implementation of the recommended practices of the SORP. Where there is a change of accounting policy the recommendations as described above should be applied. Changes to the allocation of items between capital and revenue (including the adoption of an allocation basis for Finance Costs and expenses for the first time) are not considered to be matters of accounting policy and consequently no restatement of either the prior period or capital and revenue reserve balances at the beginning of the prior period is required.
IV) FORM AND CONTENT

14 Income Statement

**Investment Companies** should provide a revenue column and a capital column prepared in accordance with the SORP on the face of the Income Statement.

*Note: Investment Companies* are subject to the requirements of the *Companies Act 2006* and of *UK Accounting Standards*. Therefore, the form and content of the *Financial Statements* of *Investment Companies* are basically similar to those of most other companies.

However, as stated in paragraph 5 above, the separation of capital and revenue is of fundamental importance to shareholders and other users of *Investment Companies’ Financial Statements* and is considered essential in assessing financial performance. It follows that the presentation of the three-column format on the face of the primary statement will enable *Investment Companies* to provide users of the *Financial Statements* with this distinction clearly shown; it should be noted that this format should be applied even by *VCTs* that do not have *CAIC Status*.

The three columns should be called ‘revenue’, ‘capital’ and ‘total’ and the total column will be the *Investment Company’s* profit and loss account with the revenue and capital columns representing supplementary information. The primary statement should be referred to as the *Income Statement*.
15 Statement of Total Recognised Gains and Losses and Reconciliation of Movements in Shareholders’ Funds

**Investment Companies** should present separately, as primary statements with the same prominence as the other primary statements, a Statement of Total Recognised Gains and Losses (‘STRGL’) and a Reconciliation of Movements in Shareholders’ Funds (‘RMSF’).

**Note:** The STRGL is already, in accordance with the requirements of **FRS 3**, a primary statement and it is considered to be helpful to users of the **Financial Statements** that the RMSF statement be given equal prominence. Unlike IAS, **UK Accounting Standards** do not currently permit the presentation of a combined statement and therefore the STRGL and the RMSF statement will have to be shown separately.

With regard to format, it is considered that the STRGL will need, where relevant, to show the supplementary revenue and capital columns described in paragraph 14 above. The RMSF statement should be presented on a columnar basis, and would normally include separate disclosure of share capital, own funds and the various different reserves. In addition, the total movement for the period disclosed in the STRGL should be apparent from the disclosures in the RMSF statement. It should be noted that a STRGL need not be shown where there are no relevant gains or losses although disclosure to this effect will be required (see paragraph 57 of **FRS 3**).
16 Revenue and reserves distributable by way of dividend

An Investment Company should highlight separately, either on the face of its balance sheet or the RMSF statement or in the notes to the accounts, the amount of its reserves distributable by way of dividend and provide a reconciliation between the movement in this reserve and the results for the period.

**Note:** the three-column format of the Income Statement will provide users with a clear separation of revenue and capital profits and losses for the period, but will be less useful in enabling users to identify distributable reserves at the balance sheet date, particularly as the total column is the Investment Company’s profit and loss account (see paragraph 14 above) and dividends paid or payable by the Investment Company will not be shown in the Income Statement (see paragraph 19 below). Disclosure of the amount of reserves available to be distributed by way of dividend, together with a reconciliation of the movement in this reserve over the period to the period’s results, will enable the level of distributable reserves to be identified which will, in turn, be of benefit to users in assessing the Investment Company’s financial position.

Where this requirement is first implemented by a VCT which does not already highlight such information separately, the figures for reserves distributable by way of dividend will need to be extracted from the existing reserves. This does not represent a change in accounting policy but merely an analysis of existing reserves (see paragraph 13 above for changes in accounting policies).

It should be noted that a VCT that does not have CAIC Status will potentially be able to distribute by way of dividend some or all of its undistributed capital profits. In such circumstances the amount of capital reserves distributable by way of dividend should be separately disclosed together with the relevant reconciliation as described above. It should be further noted that it is not a requirement of the SORP that the separate disclosure or reconciliation be given with respect to a particular distributable capital reserve (or reserves), but rather against distributable capital reserves generally.

Where, temporarily or otherwise, an Investment Company is unable to pay distributions, perhaps, for example, because it is unable to meet the requirements of section 832 Companies Act 2006, then the above information should still be disclosed but a note explaining the circumstances should be added.
Profits or losses arising during the reporting period on the revaluation or disposal of Investments classified as at fair value through profit or loss should be shown in the capital column of the Income Statement. Either on the face of the Income Statement or in the notes to the accounts, profits or losses arising on the disposal of Investments during the period should be shown separately from profits or losses arising from the revaluation of Investments held at the reporting date.

Either on the face of the balance sheet or the RMSF statement or in the notes to the accounts, Investment Companies should identify separately capital reserves that relate to the revaluation of Investments held at the reporting date.

Note: as stated in paragraph 14 above, the total column of the Income Statement will be an Investment Company’s profit and loss account and, as set out in paragraph 25 below, the SORP is recommending that Investments held by an Investment Company should not normally be classified as available-for-sale.

In such circumstances, profits and losses on Investments arising during the reporting period (whether they relate to Investments held at the reporting date or realised during the reporting period) will be reflected in the capital column of the Income Statement (and thereby the profit and loss account). The net profit or loss arising on realisations during the reporting period (based on sale proceeds less opening carrying value or cost if purchased during the reporting period) and the net profit or loss arising from the revaluation of Investments held at the reporting date (based on closing carrying value less opening carrying value or cost if purchased during the reporting period) should be shown separately.

With regard to disclosure on the face of the balance sheet or the RMSF statement or in the notes to the accounts, the amount relating to the net profit or loss on Investments held at the reporting date (i.e. generally speaking the difference between the valuation of the investments and their cost) should be shown separately as a single figure described as ‘Investment Holding Gains’ or similar term.

Period end balances on other reserves, e.g. share premium, capital redemption reserve, profits or losses on investments disposed of etc (reflecting as appropriate expenses recognised in the capital column of the Income Statement, share buybacks etc) should be disclosed as determined by the Board in accordance with the requirements set out in the Companies Act 2006 and other regulations. Paragraph 16 above deals with reserves distributable by way of dividend.

Where an Investment is classified as available-for-sale then, until it is derecognised or becomes impaired, a gain or loss should be recognised through the STRGL.
The ICAEW issued guidance on distributable profits in its Technical Release Tech 01/08. The guidance states (in paragraph 3.3):

‘It is generally accepted that profits shall be treated as realised for the purpose of applying the definition of realised profits in companies legislation only when realised in the form of cash or of other assets the ultimate cash realisation of which can be assessed with reasonable certainty. In this context, ‘realised’ may also encompass profits relating to assets that are readily realisable. This would embrace profits and losses resulting from the recognition of changes in fair values, in accordance with relevant accounting standards, to the extent that they are readily convertible to cash.’

Profits resulting from the recognition of changes in fair values from unquoted equity investments are unlikely to qualify as realised as the amounts will not be readily convertible to cash (see paragraph 4.10 of Tech 01/08). The position with regards to losses is set out in paragraphs 4.29 to 4.33 of Tech 01/08.

For profits on Investments which otherwise fall to be treated as realised, Boards need to consider the implication of the Block Discount provisions set out in paragraphs 4.16 to 4.22 of Tech 01/08. For the vast majority of such investments it is expected that the provisions will not apply as the profit will be realisable over a short period of time in the ordinary course of business (see paragraph 4.19 of Tech 01/08). However, it is necessary to consider the position on an investment by investment basis.

Consequently, following on from the changes introduced by the ICAEW’s guidance, it may be the case that profits on certain Investments held at the reporting date can, when distributable profits are determined, be considered to be realised.

Given the onerous nature of the identification of distributable profits and the fact that, in any event, the profit on Investments held will change as the Fair Values of those Investments change, rendering the information much less useful, the SORP does not require, either in the primary statements or in the notes to the accounts, the disclosure of the net profit or loss on Investments held at the reporting date analysed between those that are realised and those that are unrealised in accordance with the definition set out in Tech 01/08. Rather, as described above, the net profit or loss on Investments held at the reporting date should be shown as a single figure described as ‘Investment Holding Gains’ or similar term.
18 Returns per share

In addition to the return per share based on the total column, on the face of the Income Statement Investment Companies should disclose the net revenue return per share and the net capital return per share on the actual basis. Investment Companies should also disclose, with equal prominence, the returns per share on the diluted basis in accordance with the provisions of FRS 22.

Note: as the revenue and capital columns represent supplementary information it is appropriate that a return per share and a diluted return per share, where relevant, are disclosed with respect to each column on the face of the Income Statement. Full details of the amounts used in calculating the returns should be disclosed in the notes to the Financial Statements.

19 Dividends

An Investment Company should show, by way of a note to the accounts, information regarding the total amount of dividends paid or payable on its shares (whether classified as equity or liability) with respect to the reporting period including any dividend proposed by the Board. The disclosure should include for each separate dividend the amount paid or proposed to be paid and the figures in pence per share, together with the totals for the period.

Note: under company law and accounting standards, certain dividends proposed by the Board of an Investment Company, particularly any final dividend due to be approved by its shareholders at an annual general meeting and interim dividends not paid within the reporting year, will not be classified as a liability and therefore will not be recognised in the Financial Statements. Rather, as it is likely to be the case that the previous year’s final dividend and the current year’s interim dividend or dividends will have been paid in the reporting year, the Financial Statements will generally reflect last year’s final plus the current year’s interim dividends.

In any event, it will be the case that even where they have been recognised in the Financial Statements, dividends paid in connection with a class of share classified as equity will not be shown in the Income Statement but rather such dividends will appear as items in the RMSF statement. Dividends on shares classified as liabilities will form part of the finance cost of those shares and will be reflected as appropriate (see paragraphs 50 and 51 below).

Dividends which appear as items in the RMSF statement may have been paid out of capital profits for VCTs that do not have CAIC Status. Consequently, it will be necessary to determine whether dividends have been paid out of revenue or capital profits in order to determine from which reserve category they should be deducted (see paragraph 15 above for details of the RMSF statement and 16 above for the disclosure requirements of reserves distributable by way of dividend). However, with regard to dividends paid out of capital profits, it should be noted that the SORP is not requiring that a specific capital reserve (or reserves) be identified, only that capital reserves generally have been used.
However, in order to qualify as an investment trust, venture capital trust or a companies act investment company, an entity needs to meet the tests set out in Section 842, Section 274 or section 833 Companies Act 2006 respectively for which the proposed dividend should be taken into account. Making the above disclosures will make it easier for users of the Financial Statements to establish that the legislative conditions have been met. Disclosure requirements relating to reserves and dividends are also set out in Section 43, Part 3 Accounts and Reports Regulations.

20 Net asset value attributable to each class of share

An Investment Company should disclose in its Financial Statements the Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Class of Share.

Note: see explanatory note to paragraph 22 below.

21 Classification of share capital

An Investment Company’s share capital should be classified and disclosed as either equity or a liability in accordance with the requirements of FRS 25 and FRS 26.

Note: for a conventional Investment Company without a fixed life and with its ordinary shares entitled to all net revenue and capital gains, there is little doubt that such ordinary shares will fall to be classified as equity. On the other hand, a preference share that provides for mandatory redemption for a fixed amount at a fixed date is almost certain to be classified as a liability.

Where an Investment Company has a fixed or limited life and/or where share classes have been issued with a fixed or limited life then, in accordance with the previous requirements of FRS 25 and FRS 26, all such shares may have fallen to be classified and disclosed as liabilities even if this resulted in the Investment Company having no equity class at all. For example, where an Investment Company has a fixed life and the different classes of share are entitled to be repaid out of the assets of the company at the end of that life then, regardless as to whether the entitlement is fixed at the outset or not, those share classes may well have fallen to be classified as liabilities.

As has already been suggested above, this would have left the Investment Company in the unusual position of having no share class classified as equity. In terms of the balance sheet, paragraph IE32 of FRS 25 gives an example of the style of presentation that might be used in these circumstances and, with the obvious change from unitholders to shareholders, this would seem an appropriate format for most Investment Companies to adopt.

However, FRS 25 has recently been amended such that, as long as certain conditions are met, the most subordinate class of share capital issued by a limited life company will usually be classified as equity.

Those conditions are set out in paragraphs 16C and 16D of FRS 25. One condition states that for a share class to be classified as equity there must be no other share class that has its total
cash flows based substantially on the profit or loss, the change in the recognised net assets or
the change in the fair value of the recognised and unrecognised net assets of the company and
which has the effect of substantially restricting or fixing the residual return to the share class to
be classified as equity.

It is not considered that the existence of a typical income share, with its entitlement to a pro-
rata share of the net revenue generated by the company and a fixed amount on wind-up, will
prevent a typical capital share from being classified as equity. In addition, an income share, in
the circumstances where the issuing company has insufficient assets to meet the fixed amount
due in wind-up, does not fall to be classified as equity because the income share will not be the
most subordinate share class and neither will its existence prevent the capital share from
continuing to be classified as equity.

**Investment Companies**, particularly **VCTs**, commonly raise additional capital through the
issue of new shares often referred to as ‘C’ Shares. Funds raised as ‘C’ Shares will typically
represent a separate ring-fenced pool of value (distinct from the net assets attributable to
ordinary shareholders) managed within the overall objectives and policies of the company. At
a date in the future, the ‘C’ Shares will be converted into new ordinary shares, with identical
rights to the existing ordinary shares, in the proportion that the net asset value of a ‘C’ Share
bears to the net asset value of an ordinary share. Until conversion the assets are managed as
two separate pools.

The classification of ‘C’ shares will depend on their detailed rights and entitlements, in particular
the terms of any contractual obligation on the company.

The rights and entitlements attaching to share classes can vary enormously and it will be for the
**Board**, in conjunction with its advisers, to determine the classification of existing or newly
issued share classes. Paragraphs 50 and 51 below deal with **Finance Costs** where shares are
classified as liabilities.

The **Association** understands that equity/liability classification is an issue which continues to
be considered actively by the accounting standard setters and it is possible that revisions to the
current position may be forthcoming.
Whether net asset value per share information is disclosed within, or solely outside of, the **Financial Statements**, a full reconciliation of the **Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share** and the **Diluted Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share** (if applicable) so disclosed, both for the period under review and for the corresponding previous period, to the amounts shown in the **Financial Statements** should be disclosed in the notes to the accounts. In addition, details (including the number of shares and the relevant net assets) of the amounts used in the calculations should be given. The calculations should be carried out on the Articles Basis, as described below, and in accordance with the definitions set out in the Definition of Terms section of the **SORP**.

Where such information is not disclosed elsewhere in the annual report, the **Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share** and the **Diluted Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share** (if applicable) should be shown in the **Financial Statements** together with the reconciliations and details mentioned in the previous paragraph.

**Note:** information relating to the **Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share** (‘NAV per share’) is of fundamental importance to users of the **Financial Statements** of **Investment Companies** and an allocation of net assets in accordance with rights applying on a return of assets, as set out in the Articles of Association of the **Investment Company** (‘the Articles Basis’), is considered to be generally most relevant to individual shareholders. This is because an allocation of net assets on the Articles Basis enables a shareholder to apply an asset valuation to the shareholding, which may be important in terms of assessing the risk and security attaching to those shares.

However, with regard to, for example, certain financial liabilities, it may be more appropriate to include them in the calculations at their **Fair Value** rather than the amount shown in the **Financial Statements** and other adjustments to carrying values may be necessary – such adjustments will form part of the reconciliation and disclosure information given in accordance with this paragraph.

For the calculation of **Diluted Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Share**, it is also considered appropriate to apply the Articles Basis, again with any adjustments to carrying values appropriately reconciled and disclosed.

Some **Boards** may feel that it is more appropriate to disclose net asset value per share information in the annual report outside of the **Financial Statements**. Where this is the case it is important that users of the annual report are provided with a full reconciliation of the information so disclosed to the figures included in the **Financial Statements** and the basis on which the calculations have been made.
Where net asset value per share information is not provided outside of the Financial Statements it should be included within them, together with the full reconciliation and calculation disclosures.

Where treasury shares exist, a net asset value per share figure disclosed within the Financial Statements should be based on the assumption that those treasury shares have been cancelled. However, where an Investment Company’s policy permits treasury shares to be sold at a price below net asset value, this should be disclosed together with the fact that such a sale (or sales), which is at the discretion of the Board, would dilute the net asset value shown.

23 Net asset value - reconciliation with statement of total return

Differences between the movement in Net Asset Value Attributable to Each Class of Share and that as shown in the Income Statement should be reconciled and disclosed by way of a note to the accounts. No reconciliation of the differences in terms of pence per share of either the NAV or the diluted NAV need be disclosed.

Note: for many reasons (e.g. shares have been issued in the period) the movement in assets attributable to each class of share may not be fully explained by the returns shown in the Income Statement. In such circumstances the Investment Company should disclose by way of note a full reconciliation between the opening and closing net assets attributable.

The impact, in terms of NAV per share, of certain types of transaction (e.g. share buybacks) can, especially when the Investment Company has a complicated share structure, be difficult or onerous to ascertain. A reconciliation of the movement of assets in terms of pence per share is not considered to be as important to users of the Financial Statements as a reconciliation of Net Assets Attributable to Each Class of Share. Accordingly it is not recommended that a reconciliation of the movement of NAV per share or the diluted NAV per share be provided.
Other factors affecting returns and net asset values

Where it is not readily apparent from the Financial Statements, and not fully described elsewhere in the annual report, disclosure should be made of material factors affecting the returns and net assets attributable to shareholders or to different classes of share where more than one class exists.

**Note:** where further disclosures would aid the user’s understanding of historic returns achieved and the risks attaching to returns potentially attainable by Investment Companies (including returns on different classes of share) such disclosures should also be provided. These additional disclosures could include the impact or potential impact arising from the nature and extent of any gearing (see 1 below). Further examples are the extent to which assets are available at the balance sheet date to cover any predetermined final liability or loan covenant obligations (see 2 below), the break-up value of any loans (see 2 below) and any material factors affecting the ability of Investment Companies to pay dividends. In order to help users assess the impact of the material factors on prospective returns, Boards should consider providing illustrative return statistics such as hurdle rates.

Where quantification of the impact on shareholder returns of these factors is not practicable, the disclosures should be presented in narrative form.

In most cases, this additional information is more appropriately disclosed in a section of the annual report outside of the Financial Statements, although it should be noted that if the information is also required by FRS 29 then it must be disclosed within the Financial Statements.

1. Gearing is a factor that affects the returns to shareholders. Some gearing is clearly disclosed on the face of the Financial Statements, for example bank loans and other capital instruments, but investments in warrants, derivatives and similar securities also involve gearing. If the Investment Company has holdings in Investments Funds which themselves have borrowings and/or are in turn invested in geared Investment Funds, the resulting layer of gearing can also amplify the effect on the value of the Investment Company’s net assets of movements in underlying share prices.

2. It is not only the level of gearing that can affect the returns to shareholders; the type of indebtedness and the terms and conditions attaching to it are also relevant to a shareholder’s understanding of the implications of gearing for their investment.
V) INVESTMENTS

25 Accounting classification

Unless another category is more appropriate or the Investment automatically falls to be classified as at fair value through profit or loss, Investments held by an Investment Company should normally be designated as at fair value through profit or loss.

Note: in accordance with the requirements of FRS 26, Investments fall to be categorised as either:

- at fair value through profit or loss;
- held to maturity;
- loans and receivables; or
- available-for-sale

Strict conditions apply before an Investment can be categorised as held to maturity or as a loan or receivable and if such conditions are satisfied then it may well be appropriate for an Investment Company to classify the relevant Investments accordingly.

However, for the vast majority of Investments which comprise an Investment Company’s portfolio, the choice of categorisation is likely to be restricted to either at fair value through profit or loss or available-for-sale. Whilst for both these categories Investments will be valued at Fair Value, the change in Fair Value will be treated very differently. Broadly speaking, a gain or loss on an Investment categorised as at fair value through profit or loss will be recognised in the profit and loss account whereas a gain or loss on an available-for-sale Investment will be recognised through the STRGL. When the financial asset is derecognised the cumulative gain or loss previously recognised through the STRGL will be recognised in the profit and loss account.

In order to preserve consistency throughout the industry and to ensure that the vast majority of Investments related gains and losses are recognised in the profit and loss account (and the capital column) at the time the gain or loss is first recognised, the SORP is recommending that Investments should, unless the Investment Company feels it is more appropriate to categorise qualifying investments as held to maturity or as loans and receivables, be designated as at fair value through profit or loss.

In order to achieve a fair value through profit or loss categorisation, it is necessary for an Investment Company to meet the requirements set out in FRS 26 for designation as such.

Where an Investment Company categorises its Investments as available-for-sale, the Board should disclose the reason why it considers the chosen classification to be more appropriate.
26 Investment properties

Where an Investment Company holds an investment property, as defined in SSAP 19, it should comply with the provisions of SSAP 19.

Note: Investment Companies should include changes in the market value of investment properties in the capital column of the STRGL unless a deficit (or its reversal) on an individual investment property is expected to be permanent in which case it should be charged (or credited) to the capital column of the Income Statement.

27 Unquoted Investments - disclosure re changes in valuation

Disclosure should be made where the value of an Unquoted Investment held by an Investment Company has been written up or down to a material extent during the accounting period including the amount of the write-up or write-down.

Note: an Investment Company need only disclose write-ups or write-downs which are material in the context of its Financial Statements.

28 Unquoted investments - disclosure re disposals

For each disposal of an Unquoted Investment during the accounting period, which disposal is material in the context of the Investment Company’s Financial Statements, disclosure should be made of its:

(a) net disposal proceeds;

(b) cost; and

(c) carrying value at the end of the previous accounting period (if held at that date).
VI) SIGNIFICANT HOLDINGS IN INVESTEES UNDERTAKINGS

29 Substantial holdings - disclosure

An **Investment Company** should disclose any holding in an investee undertaking which comprises 3% or more of any class of capital if the holding is material in the context of its **Financial Statements**.

**Note:** where an **Investment Company** has a substantial holding in an investee undertaking, this fact should be disclosed in the **Financial Statements** since it may have implications in areas of interest to users of the **Financial Statements**, such as risk, liquidity and marketability. The **Investment Company** should disclose the name of the investee company and the percentage held.

30 Subsidiary undertakings

If an **Investment Company** is classified as a parent undertaking of one or more subsidiary undertakings then, unless the subsidiary undertaking or undertakings are excluded from consolidation by virtue of the requirements of the **Companies Act 2006** or **FRS 2**, consolidated **Financial Statements** should be prepared. In such cases those consolidated **Financial Statements** should be prepared in accordance with IAS.

**Note:** an **Investment Company** may meet the criteria contained within the **Companies Act 2006** and **FRS 2** to be classified as a parent undertaking of another undertaking. This may arise because the **Investment Company** chooses to conduct some of its activities through a subsidiary undertaking, for example by having a dealing subsidiary; or it may arise for other reasons. If the criteria are met, the **Investment Company** is required to prepare consolidated **Financial Statements** for its group - ‘group’ is defined as ‘a parent undertaking and its subsidiary undertakings’ - unless all of the **Investment Company’s** subsidiary undertakings are permitted or required to be excluded from consolidation.

When required the consolidated **Financial Statements** fall to be prepared in accordance with IAS and the **SOPR** has no direct effect (see paragraph 3 above).
31  Associated undertakings

If an Investment Company has associated undertakings that are not held as part of its investment portfolio the additional information required by UK Accounting Standards and company law should be shown.

**Note:** with regard to portfolio investments FRS 9 states (paragraph 49) that Investment Companies should treat all investments that are held as part of their investment portfolio in the same way, even those over which the Investment Company has significant influence. Investments are held as part of an investment portfolio if their value to the investor is through their marketable value as part of a basket of investments rather than as media through which the investor carries out its business. It follows that portfolio investments will not result in the additional information mentioned above being shown. An investment in a subsidiary undertaking will not be a portfolio investment.

The disclosure requirements of an Investment Company not preparing consolidated Financial Statements are set out in paragraph 48 of FRS 9.

32  Investment funds - disclosure

Where an Investment Company holds, or at any time during the accounting period has held, an interest of 10% or more in any class of units/shares in an Investment Fund, or a holding of units/shares in an Investment Fund which is material in the context of the Financial Statements of the Investment Company, the following should be disclosed:

(a) the name of the Investment Fund;

(b) the proportion and value of each class of the units/shares held; and

(c) if the Investment Fund and the Investment Company are managed or advised by the same manager or by different managers from the same group, whether any arrangement is or has been in place to avoid the double charging of fees and expenses and, if not, the rate of charge of fees in respect of the Investment Fund.

In addition, disclosure should be made of the total value of all of the Investment Company's holdings in Investments managed or advised by its manager (or by different managers from the same group).

**Note:** the principles and recommendations set out above in respect of subsidiary undertakings and associated undertakings apply equally to holdings in Investment Funds. Disclosure of the specified information in relation to an Investment Company's interests in Investment Funds may enhance the user's understanding of the financial position of the Investment Company.
VII) RECOGNITION OF INCOME

33 Accruals basis

The accruals basis of accounting should be applied to all items of income.

**Note:** *Investment Companies* receive much of their income in the form of dividends, interest, fees and commissions. In order to state fairly the results and position of an *Investment Company* at any point in time, the accruals basis of accounting should be applied to all items of income. The accruals principle is one of the pervasive accounting concepts identified in *FRS 18*.

34 Currency translations

The translation of transactions which are denominated in a foreign currency should be carried out in accordance with the provisions of *FRS 23*.

**Note:** profits or loses arising from foreign currency transactions are to be allocated between revenue and capital in accordance with the underlying nature of the transaction. See Section XI below for further discussion.

35 Income from shares (including dividends to be treated as capital)

Subject to paragraphs 40 and 41 below, a return on a share, other than a return falling within paragraph 36 below, (whether in respect of dividends, excluding any related tax credits, redemption, or otherwise), should be recognised when the *Investment Company's* right to the return is established (e.g. for dividends normally the ex-dividend date). If circumstances dictate, the return, including dividends, should be regarded and recognised as a capital receipt.

**Note:** in deciding whether a dividend should be regarded as a capital receipt an *Investment Company* should review all relevant information as to the reasons for and sources of the dividend, as well as its reasons for investing.

Capital reconstructions or reorganisations of the investee involving the payment of a dividend might be considered a capital receipt. Dividends which need to be considered in this context are often referred to as ‘special dividends’ although this does not mean that those not so described should always be taken to revenue. It is normally necessary to consider the facts and circumstances on a case-by-case basis before a conclusion can be reached.

*This issue is particularly important in the case of Split Capital Investment Trusts* where equity must be maintained between different classes of share.
36 Income from shares - fixed amount

Subject to paragraphs 40 and 41 below, where any returns (whether in respect of dividends, redemption, or otherwise) on any share are for a fixed amount (other than the returns on Zero Dividend Preference Shares in another ITC which are dealt with in Section X), then those returns should be recognised as income and accrued on a time-apportionment basis so as to reflect the Effective Interest Rate on the share.

37 Ex-dividend basis

Dividends as set out in paragraph 35 above should normally be recognised on an ex-dividend basis.

Note: in the case of a return receivable on a share falling within paragraph 35 above (for example, a dividend or that part of the total return on participating preference shares which represents a proportion of the dividends paid on the relevant shares), the Investment Company’s right to the income is generally established on the ex-dividend date or, in the absence of a formal ex-dividend date, on the date on which the share is traded ex-dividend. It is recognised there is a possibility that, subsequent to the ex-dividend date, the shareholders of the investee undertaking may not approve the dividend or, in the case of an interim dividend, that payment will not be made. This possibility is generally considered to be remote, although the Board should satisfy itself, particularly with regard to interim dividends, that it is not aware of any circumstances which might lead to any doubt arising, and consequently does not undermine the appropriateness of recognition on the ex-dividend date. Therefore, in the case of a dividend receivable on a share falling within paragraph 35 above, the amount due should be brought into account on the ex-dividend date or, in the absence of an ex-dividend date, on the date on which the Investment Company’s right to the dividend is established. In some cases, the Investment Company’s right to the income may not be established until the income is actually received by the Investment Company. Where this is the case, it is appropriate that the income is brought into account on the date of receipt.

38 Income from debt securities - fixed amount

Subject to paragraphs 40 and 41 below, where any returns (whether in respect of interest, redemption, or otherwise) on a debt security are for a fixed amount, then those returns should be recognised as income and accrued on a time-apportionment basis so as to reflect the Effective Interest Rate on the debt security.
Subject to paragraphs 40 and 41 below, a return (whether in respect of interest, redemption, or otherwise) on a debt security other than a return falling within paragraph 38 above, should be recognised as income or capital, depending on its nature, and accrued when the Investment Company's right to the return is established.

Note: returns from certain debt securities may be for a non-fixed amount. The return may be variable, linked to, for example, interest base rates, the RPI, or other indexes. Clearly, where this is the case it will only be possible to calculate the impact on the Effective Interest Rate when such returns are known, and the Effective Interest Rate may change when such variables change.

40 Reasonable doubt - prior to recognition

Where, immediately before recognition is due, there is reasonable doubt that a return will actually be received by the Investment Company, the recognition of the return should be deferred until the reasonable doubt is removed.

41 Doubtful income - after recognition

Where, subsequent to the recognition of an amount of income, it becomes clear that payment will not be made or the collectability becomes doubtful, adequate provision should be made. The provision should be recognised as an expense, rather than as an adjustment of the amount of income originally recognised.
Where an Investment Company has elected to receive its dividend in the form of shares rather than in cash, the amount of the cash dividend foregone should be recognised as income and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement. Any excess in the value of the shares received over the amount of the cash dividend foregone should be recognised as capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement.

Note: Investment Companies may have the opportunity to elect to receive their dividends in the form of additional shares rather than in cash. The share equivalent is sometimes referred to as a Scrip Dividend and consists of shares fully paid-up out of the paying company’s reserves. Sometimes, in order to give the shareholders an incentive to elect to take the shares rather than the cash dividend, Enhanced Scrip Dividends are offered. The question arises as to whether, for accounting purposes, the receipt in the form of shares comprises a capital or a revenue item.

In the case of Scrip Dividends which are not Enhanced Scrip Dividends, in substance the receipt of shares is regarded as the receipt of cash dividends with a simultaneous reinvestment of the proceeds in an issue of new shares. Therefore, the book cost of investments is debited and income credited with the amount of the cash dividend.

In the case of Enhanced Scrip Dividends, the enhanced element has the substance of a bonus issue of shares and is therefore capital in nature; only the amount equivalent to the cash dividend is of a revenue nature.
The following principles should be applied in determining the revenue recognition basis of different types of fees and commissions:

(a) fees which are an integral part of a financial instrument should be recognised in the calculation of the **Effective Interest Rate**;

(b) fees earned for services provided should be recognised as the services are provided. However, where the service provided is, in substance, an intrinsic part of an intention to acquire or dispose of an **Investment**, fees should be recognised as capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement;

(c) fees which are dependent on the occurrence of a significant act or event should be recognised only when the significant act or event has occurred;

(d) underwriting commission should generally be recognised as income, and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement, when the issue underwritten closes. Where, however, the **Investment Company** is required to take up all of the shares underwritten, the commission received in respect of the underwriting commitment should be recognised as capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement. Where the **Investment Company** is required to take up a proportion of the shares underwritten, the same proportion of the commission received should be recognised as capital, with the balance recognised as income (underwriting is considered further in Section XV);

(e) **Stock Lending** fee income should be recognised as income and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement evenly on a time-apportionment basis. Since the fees are generally based on interest calculated on the market value of the securities on loan, such fees should be recognised on the same basis as interest income (**Stock Lending** is dealt with in Section XIII); and

(f) changes in the fair value of a written option should normally be recognised as income and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement. Where, however, it can be demonstrated that there is a clear connection between the writing of the option and the maintenance or enhancement of the **Investment Company’s Investments**, then the change in fair value should be recognised as capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement.
Note: an *Investment Company* may wish to write options for different purposes. It may believe that it can extract additional value by writing options and receiving premiums in the expectation that the options will either not be exercised or, in overall terms, any losses that may arise following exercise will be outweighed by the value of the premiums received. In such cases, the premiums are considered to be income in nature, as the primary purpose behind the writing of the option is to receive the premium. As any losses arising from the exercise of an option are likely to be capital in nature, the *Board* should consider carefully whether disclosures under paragraph 44 below are required.

As the exercise of an option will normally result in a loss in value to the *Investment Company* (either by being required to dispose of *Investments* at below their market value or by being required to acquire *Investments* at above market value), it may appear that the writing of an option cannot be connected with the maintenance and enhancement of the *Investment Company’s Investments*. However, in some cases, an option may be written for this purpose.

For example, an *Investment Company* may have a significant holding in a relatively illiquid investment. It may have decided that, as the holding has reached a certain value, it would like to dispose of that holding. However, due to its size and illiquidity, the *Investment Company* believes that there may be difficulties in realising the holding at this price through the market place. Writing an option may therefore result in the disposal of the holding at a more attractive price than would have been possible had the *Investment Company* simply sold through the market. In such cases, whilst the *Investment Company* will naturally still seek to maximise the value of the option premium received, the premium is an incidental part of a larger capital transaction and is being written with the aim of it being exercised. The premium should therefore be treated as a capital receipt.

The premium on the option is likely to be treated as the option’s initial fair value, with changes in its fair value being reflected in the total column of the Income Statement at each reporting date. However, where it falls to be recognised as income, an amount of the premium such that the total is recognised evenly over its life should be shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement with the appropriate amount shown in the capital column of the Income Statement which results in the total amount shown being the overall change in the fair value of the option. Where the option is exercised any balance remaining should be recognised immediately in the revenue column with a corresponding adjustment in the capital column.

It should be noted that written options cannot meet the rules for *Hedge Accounting* except in the circumstances where the written option is acting as a hedge against the value of a purchased option and the other criteria are met.
Transactions having a material effect on income

Where there is a reasonable expectation that a transaction, or series of transactions, will increase an Investment Company's income at the expense of capital (or vice versa) by a material amount, the Investment Company should disclose in its Financial Statements, in aggregate and for each material transaction, the following:

(a) a description of the transaction;
(b) the income from the transaction; and
(c) the capital profit or loss on the transaction.

Note: the net revenue of an Investment Company can be affected by the purchase or sale of dividend income; that is the practice of buying securities cum-dividend and selling ex-dividend (or vice versa) shortly thereafter. It follows that where, for example, there is a pattern of buying securities cum-dividend (or special cum) and selling ex-dividend (or special ex) (or vice versa) or there have been sales of Investments within one month of purchase, the relevant amount of income lost or gained should be disclosed in the notes to the accounts. As a further example, an Investment Company may increase its income at the expense of capital by writing option contracts with a 'low' exercise price and other transactions, having similar consequences, may be equally possible. In order for a user of the Financial Statements to appreciate the impact on revenue and capital it is appropriate that disclosure is made.
VIII) FINANCE COSTS AND EXPENSES

a) General (including direct costs)

45 Introduction

The Board should determine whether capital profits should reflect the indirect costs as well as the direct costs incurred in generating capital gains.

The approach selected should be applied consistently and encompass all expenses, i.e. an Investment Company should not reflect in its capital profits allocable indirect Finance Costs but exclude allocable indirect expenses or vice versa, except where expressly provided by the SORP.

Note: as stated in paragraph 14 above, Investment Companies should provide a revenue column and a capital column prepared in accordance with the SORP on the face of the Income Statement. It follows that the concept of ‘capital profits’ is central to the recommendation, although there is no formal definition as to what a capital profit is.

It is accepted that the term capital profits means ‘net capital profits’. In other words, expenses can be taken into account in determining the amount of capital profits before those profits are carried to capital reserve. The question then arises as to what expenses may legitimately be taken into account in arriving at capital profits.

Given the lack of an explicit definition of capital profits, it is appropriate to look to generally accepted accounting principles to assist in determining the basis on which capital profits might be calculated. However, the concept of capital profits (as distinct from revaluation surpluses) is not widely used in financial reporting, and there are therefore few generally accepted principles for their determination.

Generally, without regard to the special circumstances of Investment Companies, capital profits relate to the disposal of fixed assets - that is assets intended for continuing use in the business - or otherwise relate to a capital transaction; and such transactions are regarded as separate from the operating activities of the company. Capital profits are calculated on a transaction by transaction basis, by deducting the cost of the asset involved from the sales proceeds, with only the direct costs of the transaction being taken into account.

Indirect or time-related costs are generally taken into account only where they can be regarded as forming part of the production cost of the asset; for example, interest costs included as part of the cost of a development property held as a fixed asset. Company law (paragraph 27 (3), Part 2 Accounts and Reports Regulations) permits, but does not require, the inclusion of overheads and interest on capital borrowed to finance the production of an asset, but only to the extent that they relate to the period of production.
The general accounting approach to determining capital profits is therefore based on a transactional approach. Capital profits are amounts excluded from operating profits for some particular reason because they result from a special type of transaction, rather than regarded as being earned from the business operations of the company. Indirect costs are incurred in carrying on the business of the company. They are not as such related to the capital transaction and are, therefore, not deducted in arriving at the capital profit. Furthermore, indirect costs are incurred irrespective of whether capital profits are made (and irrespective of whether capital transactions occur) and are therefore not attributed to capital.

For an Investment Company, the rationale underpinning the general accounting approach to determining capital profits differs in certain respects - the achievement of capital gains on Investments is not a special type of transaction but a central business objective of an Investment Company; and indirect costs such as Finance Costs and Investment Management Fees are incurred not irrespective of whether capital profits are made or whether capital transactions occur but in pursuit of the Investment Company’s strategic investment objectives, which include the achievement of capital gains.

Therefore, one approach to determining capital profits recognises that an Investment Company has two principal business objectives - the maintenance or enhancement of the value of its Investments and the generation of income from its Investments - and that certain indirect costs are incurred in furtherance of both objectives. Under this approach, in determining capital profits, capital gains are matched with the costs incurred in earning those capital gains and it is therefore appropriate to allocate such indirect costs between capital (i.e. those costs incurred to maintain or enhance the value of the Investments) and revenue (‘the allocation approach’).

Under the allocation approach, and following on from the overriding consideration that costs can be allocated to capital only where they have been incurred to maintain or enhance the value of the Investments of the Investment Company, the question arises as to the basis on which indirect costs should be allocated between capital and revenue, in order to determine capital profits and revenue profits respectively. The recommended bases for Finance Costs, Investment Management Fees and other expenses are set out in the following pages.

Another approach to determining capital profits for an Investment Company is to apply the general accounting basis described above and charge all indirect costs to revenue (‘the non-allocation approach’).

The Board should decide which of the two approaches to determining capital profits it believes to be the more appropriate and then apply the relevant recommended practices set out below in respect of Finance Costs, Investment Management Fees and other expenses.

The decision to allocate or not is for the Board to determine and an analysis of all the relevant factors that should be considered in reaching this decision is beyond the scope of this SORP. However, once the decision to allocate has been taken, the basis should be as set out in the SORP. The allocation approach adopted should be applied consistently from one period to the next. It therefore follows that a change to the approach should only be made following careful consideration of the factors involved.
Neither the initial decision to allocate nor subsequent changes to the allocation approach or the basis of allocation are considered to be matters of accounting policy (refer to the explanatory note to paragraph 13 above).

46 Changing the basis of allocation

The basis of allocation should only be altered following a fundamental change in the assumptions made. The allocation should not be altered for short-term fluctuations in market conditions.

Note: the Board should review on a regular basis the assumptions underpinning the basis of allocation. It is not appropriate to change the assumptions for short-term fluctuations in, for example, market conditions or portfolio mix unless the Board is of the view that the fluctuations represent permanent features or changes in long-term trends of such significance that the current basis of allocation, for example the previously expected long-term split of returns in the form of capital gains and income from the entire investment portfolio, can no longer be justified. Consequently it is anticipated that a change to the basis of allocation following such a review will be an infrequent event.

An alteration to the basis of allocation is not considered to be a matter of accounting policy (refer to the explanatory note to paragraph 13 above).

47 Expenses incidental to purchase or sale

The total of expenses incurred in acquiring or disposing of Investments categorised as at fair value through profit or loss in the reporting period, showing total amounts related to acquisitions and disposals separately, should be disclosed by way of a note to the accounts.

Note: certain expenses, such as brokerage fees and stamp duty, are incurred as part of the process of buying and selling Investments and, for Investment Companies, it is considered that such expenses are capital in nature. The total of such expenses, showing separately the total amount related to acquisitions, the total amount related to disposals and the overall total, should be disclosed by way of a note to the accounts.

Where an Investment has been categorised as at fair value through profit or loss, any difference between its cost (excluding expenses incurred) and its initial fair value is not considered to be an incidental expense.

An Investment Company may also, for example, incur professional fees as part of the Investment acquisition or disposal process. Providing such types of fee are incidental to the transaction, it is appropriate for them to be disclosed as described above. Where such incidental expenses have been incurred but the transaction not proceeded with, this does not alter the nature of the expense which should still be allocated to capital.
That part of a Performance Fee directly attributable to the capital performance of the Investments of an Investment Company should be allocated to capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement. That part directly attributable to the revenue performance of the Investment Company should be allocated to revenue and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement. Any balance remaining should be allocated between capital and revenue and shown in the capital and/or revenue column of the Income Statement as appropriate.

Note: a Performance Fee can be structured in many ways and new variations are being continually developed. It is therefore impossible for the SORP to cater specifically for every type of Performance Fee that has already been established or could be in the future. As a result, in such a developing area of the investment trust industry, only a general recommendation can be made.

Performance Fees arise only if the Investment Company outperforms or achieves some stated benchmark or target. It follows that Performance Fees are a special case and, unlike Investment Management Fees (excluding any Performance Fee element) which are dealt with in paragraph 56 below, are considered to be a direct expense of an Investment Company generating its capital gains or revenue. The split between the two depends on the terms of the agreement and the relative performance of the component parts on which the fee is based.

For a Performance Fee which consists of different component parts, it is necessary, where possible, to analyse the amount paid or to be accrued between that attributable to the capital outperformance of the Investment Company’s Investments and other factors.

That part of the fee which relates to the capital outperformance of the Investment Company’s Investments should be charged to capital, with that part relating to revenue outperformance being charged to revenue. Any balance of the fee should be charged between capital and revenue as determined by the Board based on the guidance set out below.

In line with principles already established, it is considered that a Performance Fee should only be allocated to capital to the extent that it can be clearly demonstrated that the expense relates to the capital outperformance of the Investment Company’s Investments. The capital and revenue elements can often be precisely determined by reference to the contract and the actual performance of the Investment Company, although on occasions assumptions have to be made.

If the Performance Fee is payable solely by reference to the capital performance of an Investment Company’s Investments, for example, it is considered appropriate for the whole of it to be charged to capital. A Performance Fee payable by reference to the combined revenue and capital return, on the other hand, would require a careful assessment of the extent to which the incurrence of the Performance Fee could reasonably be said to relate to capital outperformance of the Investments. For example, if the relative return from the revenue component is immaterial in the overall context of the Performance Fee, perhaps because the
revenue component has underperformed or only marginally outperformed then, on the
grounds that the Performance Fee will have arisen wholly or predominately by virtue of the
capital performance of the Investments, the whole of the Performance Fee should be
allocated to capital.

49 Performance fees - recognition

Performance Fees should be recognised on a prudent basis ensuring that, as
far as possible, the recognition of the fee is matched to the changes in the
component parts on which the fee is based.

Note: Performance Fees can be significant; it is important that Investment Companies do
not overstate or understate their NAVs by, for example, recognising changes in the value of their
assets, but not recognising the consequential impact of those changes on the quantum of the
Performance Fee.

Boards need to take into account the requirements of FRS 12 in determining whether the
Investment Company should provide for any estimated Performance Fee liability at the
balance sheet date. The Performance Fee will be the subject of a contractual obligation of the
Investment Company, and its terms and conditions, including the calculation basis, will be
precisely defined. In terms of FRS 12 the contractual establishment of the Performance Fee is
considered to be the past event that gives rise to a present obligation that will probably require
a transfer of economic benefits in settlement. Only the amount payable is uncertain and FRS 12 requires the amount recognised as a provision to be the best estimate of the expenditure
required to settle the present obligation at the balance sheet date.

Boards therefore need to consider the terms of the Performance Fee and compare them with
the relevant performance of the Investment Company up to the balance sheet date. Where
the relevant performance of the Investment Company has met the criteria of the
Performance Fee, albeit that future circumstances may dictate that no Performance Fee is
ultimately due, Boards need to determine the amount to be provided (using reasonable
assumptions where necessary). For example, where an Investment Company is one year into
a three year Performance Fee contract, one way to determine the liability at the balance sheet
date is to assume that the Investment Company’s Investments perform in line with its
benchmark over the following two years. Using that assumption it is possible to calculate the
Performance Fee to be recognised. At the end of the second year the process would be
repeated except that the Board would then be looking at two years of actual performance.

It is possible that the calculations at any specific date could result in the reduction of an amount
previously provided or, in certain circumstances, even a payment being due to the Investment
Company.

Disclosures in the Financial Statements relating to the Performance Fee provision should be
made in accordance with the relevant requirements of paragraphs 89 and 90 of FRS 12.
b) Finance Costs

50 Finance costs of share capital classified as a liability issued by an Investment Company

Dividends on share capital issued by an Investment Company which is classified as a liability should normally be recognised as an expense and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement. However, where the dividend has been paid out of capital profits it should normally be recognised as an expense and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement. Finance Costs, excluding dividends, of share capital issued by an Investment Company which is classified as a liability, with the exception of ZDPS issued by an ITC dealt with in paragraph 51 below, should normally be recognised as an expense and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement.

Note: as described in paragraph 21 above, some, or even all of the classes of share capital issued by an Investment Company might fall to be classified as liabilities. In such circumstances the question arises as to the proportion of Finance Costs, if any, that should be allocated to capital. The dividend element of the return is designed to be revenue in nature and payment is dependent on the revenue performance of the issuing Investment Company and it generating revenue profits. It follows that the dividend element of the Finance Cost should be allocated to revenue.

The capital return element, for example the difference between the issue price and redemption value, is designed to be capital in nature, and payment of the pre-determined amount is dependent on and determined by the capital performance of the Investments of the issuing Investment Company. It follows that this element of the Finance Cost should be allocated to capital.

With regard to dividends, VCTs that do not have CAIC Status may, as they are permitted to do, on occasion pay dividends out of capital profits. In such circumstances the dividend should normally be recognised as an expense and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement.
51 Finance costs of zero dividend preference shares

The Finance Costs of ZDPS issued by an ITC should normally be recognised as an expense and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement.

Note: whilst a ZDPS is theoretically capable of being classified as either a liability or as an equity instrument, it is expected that the majority issued by ITCs will be classified as liabilities. In such circumstances the question arises as to the proportion of Finance Costs that should be allocated to capital. The arguments are similar to those set out in the explanatory note to paragraph 68 below in respect of an ITC holding ZDPS. The return is designed to be capital in nature, and payment of the pre-determined amount is dependent on and determined by the capital performance of the Investments of the issuing ITC. It follows that all of the Finance Costs should be allocated to capital. This treatment also matches the recommendation set out in paragraph 68 below in respect of an ITC holding ZDPS. The recommendation applies equally to ZDPS issued by a company which is a subsidiary of an ITC.

VCTs have not issued ZDPS and therefore are not included in this recommendation.

52 Finance costs of debt - basis of allocation to capital

If the Board decides that capital profits should reflect indirect costs as well as direct costs incurred in generating capital gains, then the Finance Costs of debt, insofar as they relate to the financing of Investments of the Investment Company or to financing activities aimed at maintaining or enhancing the value of Investments of the Investment Company, and insofar as the debt does not meet the Hedge Accounting criteria (refer to Section XII), should be allocated between capital and revenue.

Such costs should be allocated between capital and revenue in accordance with the Board’s expected long-term split of returns, in the form of capital gains and income respectively, from the relevant Investments of the Investment Company. It should generally be assumed that the relevant Investments are those comprising the entire investment portfolio of the Investment Company. Where, however, it can be demonstrated that there is a continuing specific relationship between a particular Finance Cost and the returns or expected returns from a particular part of the investment portfolio, the relevant Investments in relation to that particular Finance Cost should be taken to be those comprising that part of the Investment Company’s portfolio.

Note: ITCs often seek to take advantage of gearing in order to enhance the returns to their shareholders. The question arises as to whether the associated Finance Costs should be charged to capital or revenue or allocated between the two.
In relation to Finance Costs of debt, the appropriate accounting treatment is dependent upon which approach to determining capital profits - the allocation approach or the non-allocation approach (see paragraph 45 above) - the Board believes to be appropriate.

In assessing its expected long-term split of returns the Board should take into account the factors set out in the explanatory note to paragraph 56 below. In addition it should take into account the following factors:

(a) the purpose of the borrowing. The allocation of Finance Costs should be based on the characteristics of the relevant Investments; and

(b) the term of the borrowing. The basis of allocation of Finance Costs should reflect the Board’s expected split of returns from the relevant Investments over the period of the borrowing.

Some ITCs, in order to avoid paying early repayment penalties, choose not to repay outstanding loans that are no longer required for investment purposes but rather maintain a balance in cash or bonds to offset the loan. In some cases this offset can be part of a formal arrangement with the loan provider in order to maintain loan covenant ratios.

Providing the purpose of the cash or bond portfolio is to act as a loan offset until the loan matures or other events force repayment, then the part of the loan represented by the offset can be considered to have a continuing specific relationship with the cash or bond portfolio. It follows that the Finance Cost of that part of the loan can be allocated between capital and revenue in accordance with the Board’s expected split of returns in the form of capital gains and income respectively from the cash or bond portfolio.

Where a Board has determined that the Finance Costs relate to a specific part of the investment portfolio, it is important to consider the impact on the expected long-term split of returns of changes (e.g. sales or purchases) to that specific portfolio and how such changes should be reflected in the allocable amount.

VCTs do not generally use gearing, but where they do the above recommendation should be applied.
53 Gains and losses following repurchase or early settlement of debt

Gains and losses on the repurchase or early settlement of debt should be recognised as capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement.

Note: it can be the case that a loan and a derivative contract, such as an interest rate swap, are so inextricably linked that, in economic terms, the combination of the two instruments is equivalent to a single debt. In such cases the gain or loss arising from the early repayment or redemption of both instruments should be dealt with in accordance with the recommendation set out above.

The case of Wall v London and Provincial Trust, Limited (1920) Ch 582, where it was held that the profit arising from the redemption of a debenture at a discount was not available for the payment of dividends, is particularly relevant.

Given the multiple different finance arrangements available, Boards should consider seeking advice where they have any concerns that their circumstances are such that they might render the general recommendation of the SORP inapplicable.

54 Disclosure of accounting re finance costs

Full disclosure should be made of the accounting treatment adopted in respect of Finance Costs including, where relevant, the basis of allocation.

55 Accounting for finance costs where no allocation made

If the Board decides that capital profits should not reflect indirect costs, then the Finance Costs of debt should be charged wholly to revenue, unless the debt meets the Hedge Accounting criteria (refer to Section XII).

Note: the recommended treatment regarding gains and losses following the repurchase or early settlement of debt (see paragraph 53 above) applies even in the circumstances where capital profits do not reflect indirect costs.
c) Investment Management Fees

56 Investment management fees - basis of allocation

If the Board decides that capital profits should reflect the indirect costs (i.e. those not falling within paragraphs 47 or 48 above) incurred in generating capital gains then, unless an Investment Company has two or more separate and distinct portfolios, each with a different management fee arrangement, Investment Management Fees (excluding any Performance Fee element which is dealt with in paragraph 48 above) should be allocated between capital and revenue in accordance with the Board’s expected long-term split of returns, in the form of capital gains and income respectively, from the entire investment portfolio of the Investment Company. Where such separate portfolios exist, then fees under each different management fee arrangement (excluding any Performance Fee element) should be allocated between capital and revenue in accordance with the Board’s expected long-term split of returns, in the form of capital gains and income respectively, from the separate investment portfolios.

Note: except where an Investment Company has two or more separate and distinct portfolios, Investment Management Fees (excluding any Performance Fee element) are recommended to be allocated between capital and revenue based on the Board’s expected long-term split of returns, in the form of capital gains and income respectively, from the entire investment portfolio of the Investment Company. This basis of allocation is considered appropriate because:

(a) it is consistent with the principle that returns and costs should be matched with one another so far as their relationship can be established or justifiably assumed. In this case, as the profits arising on the sale or revaluation of Investments will be taken to capital, it follows that expenses which have been incurred to maintain or enhance the value of those Investments may be allocated to capital;

(b) it recognises that the indirect costs are incurred with a view to enhancing future returns, which may not be expected to follow the historical pattern of returns; and

(c) it recognises the commercial principle that an Investment Company would generally not incur such costs unless the benefits were expected to exceed the costs; and applies this commercial principle also to the respective sources of benefit.
In assessing its expected long-term split of returns, the Board may wish to take account of various different factors, including:

(a) the nature of the overall return and its split between capital and revenue;

(b) the objectives of the Investment Company; and

(c) current, historical and prospective yields.

For those Investment Companies which have two or more separate and distinct investment portfolios, each with its own management fee arrangement, it is recommended that the allocation between capital and revenue of the separate management fees be based on the Board’s expected long-term split of returns, in the form of capital gains and income respectively, from each separate investment portfolio.

57 Disclosure of accounting re management fees

Full disclosure should be made of the accounting treatment adopted in respect of Investment Management Fees including, where relevant, the basis (or bases) of allocation.

58 Accounting for management fees where no allocation made

If the Board decides that capital profits should not reflect indirect costs, then all Investment Management Fees (excluding Performance Fees) should be charged to revenue.
Disclosure of terms of investment management contract

Where the Board has delegated the investment management function to a separate management company (‘manager’) there should be clear disclosure of the terms of the investment management contract. In addition to the disclosure required by the Listing Rules (refer to explanatory note below), the Investment Company’s disclosure should include:

(a) the split of the total expense for the accounting period between Investment Management Fees, Performance Fees and other fees and expenses;

(b) details of other fees and expenses paid or payable to the manager in respect of the accounting period, insofar as they are material;

(c) if the Investment Company invests in other Investment Funds which are managed or advised by the manager, or by another member of the group of which the manager is a member, whether any arrangement is in place to avoid the double charging of fees and expenses;

(d) if at any time during the accounting period a director of the Investment Company was also a director of the manager or of another member of the group of which the manager is a member, that fact; and

(e) other financial benefits that the manager receives as a direct result of its management of the Investment Company.

Note: the disclosures required by the Listing Rules are set out in chapter 15 paragraph 15.6.2.
Where, in accordance with the criteria set out in FRS 8, an Investment Company and its separate investment manager are related parties then the disclosures required by FRS 8 should be made.

**Note:** the Listing Rules (chapter 15 paragraphs 15.2.11 and 15.2.12A) require that the board of directors of an investment company must be able to act independently of any investment manager appointed to manage its investments. In complying with this, a majority of the board must not be directors, employees, partners, officers or professional advisers of or to the investment manager or any other company in the same group as the investment manager. Additionally, a majority of the board must not be directors, employees or professional advisers of or to other investment companies or funds that are managed by the same investment manager (or any other company in the same group as the investment manager) as the investment company.

The existence of an independent board demonstrates that the Investment Company is free to pursue its own financial and operating policies and therefore, in terms of FRS 8, its separate management company should not normally be considered a related party.

There are transitional provisions in place for VCTs listed before 28 September 2007 which mean that the above requirements do not apply to the boards and directors of such VCTs until 29 September 2010.
d) Other Expenses

61 Expenses - basis of allocation to capital

If the Board decides that capital profits should reflect the indirect costs (i.e. those not falling within paragraphs 47 and 48 above) incurred in generating capital gains, then expenses (excluding Investment Management Fees and issue costs) should be charged to capital (in whole or in part), and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement, only to the extent that a clear connection with the maintenance or enhancement of the value of the Investments of the Investment Company can be demonstrated.

Note: this criterion would normally preclude such expenses as administration costs, secretarial costs and custody fees from being charged to capital. While these costs may be necessarily incurred in running an Investment Company, and the Investment Company will have the maintenance or enhancement of the value of its Investments as a principal objective, the connection between these costs and the maintenance or enhancement of the value of the Investments is not considered sufficiently clear or direct to justify them being charged to capital.

With regard to expenses such as those incurred by an Investment Company in making or defending a bid, or in a reconstruction or reorganisation, it may appear that they should naturally be shown in the capital column of the Income Statement and be reflected in capital reserves. However, an Investment Company’s capital reserve is primarily a reserve for reflecting the profits arising on the revaluation or sale of its Investments, and it follows that such expenses should not be taken to capital automatically. However, providing the Board can demonstrate that the expense was incurred, wholly or partly, in connection with the maintenance or enhancement of the value of the Investments, then such costs (or a proportion thereof) can properly be allocated to capital. In particular, where an Investment Company is approaching a wind-up (see paragraph 11 above re going concern considerations) and a provision for liquidation expenses (including perhaps costs relating to an associated reconstruction) has been made, the Board needs to consider why those expenses have been/are going to be incurred and whether the circumstances meet the maintenance or enhancement test for allocating them to capital. It may also be the case that certain of the costs should be treated as being related to the disposal of the Investment Company’s assets (see paragraph 47 above).

The basis of allocation of such expenses could include the expected long-term split of returns, in the form of capital gains and income respectively, from the entire investment portfolio of the Investment Company, but might also, for different types of expenses, reflect time spent or a combination of bases.

Costs associated with the issue or repurchase of shares classified as equity are considered to be integral to a transaction with owners of the Investment Company and should be taken into account in determining the net proceeds that are reported in the RMSF statement. Such costs should not be disclosed in the Income Statement.
62 Disclosure of accounting re expenses

Full disclosure should be made of the accounting treatment adopted in respect of expenses including, where relevant, the basis (or bases) of allocation.

63 Accounting for expenses where no allocation made

If the Board decides that capital profits should not reflect indirect costs, then all expenses - other than direct costs (refer to paragraphs 47 and 48 above) and issue costs - should be charged to revenue.
IX) TAXATION

64 Overseas tax recoverable

Provision should be made against overseas tax recoverable to recognise any shortfall in the recovery position and for the expense of recovering the tax.

Note: where an Investment Company is invested overseas, it may receive income net of withholding tax and be obliged to recover the withholding tax directly from the overseas tax authorities or within the terms of a double taxation treaty. On entitlement to such an item of income, an Investment Company would generally record a receivable in its accounts in respect of the overseas tax recoverable.

Because of particular circumstances prevailing, or because of the expense involved in obtaining recovery, provision should be made as set out in the recommendation above.

65 Irrecoverable VAT

Irrecoverable VAT allocable to items disclosed separately in an Investment Company’s Financial Statements should be included in their cost where practicable and material. Separate disclosure should be made of the irrecoverable VAT element of a particular expense if material.

Note: the VAT recovery position of Investment Companies will vary, depending on the division of their ‘supplies’ between those where there is a right to recover and those where there is no such right. In accordance with the provisions of SSAP 5, irrecoverable VAT should be disclosed as set out above.
Allocation of tax relief between capital and revenue

The tax effect of different items of income (or gain) and expenditure (or loss) should be allocated between capital and revenue on the same basis as the particular item to which it relates.

Any tax relief obtained on expenses should be allocated between capital and revenue on the assumption that expenses charged to revenue are matched first against taxable revenue items. Tax relief is only reflected in capital to the extent that 'additional' expenses are utilised from capital to reduce or eliminate the Investment Company's tax liability. The amount of tax relief on such expenses should be the amount of corporation tax, or additional corporation tax, that would have been payable were it not for the existence of these 'additional' expenses. This method of allocation is often referred to as the 'marginal basis'.

For taxable capital items the allocation assumption is reversed, and expenses charged to capital are matched first against those items.

Note: consistent with the principle that returns and costs should be matched with one another so far as their relationship can be established or justifiably assumed, where an Investment Company has allocated or charged expenses to capital, it is necessary to allocate tax relief between revenue and capital.

In most circumstances, capital profits of an Investment Company are not subject to corporation tax in the UK as Investment Companies are exempt from tax on such gains. For most Investment Companies this means that the majority of, if not all, taxable items or amounts will arise in revenue. In such cases, it is considered appropriate that expenses which have been charged or allocated to revenue should be set off against taxable income in priority to expenses which have been charged to capital. It follows that, where revenue expenses exceed taxable income, there will be no allocation of tax relief to capital even where capital expenses exist. It is only when taxable income in the revenue account exceeds expenses charged or allocated to revenue that tax relief should be allocated to capital (with a corresponding charge to revenue).

The quantification of the amount of tax relief obtained should be based on the amount of corporation tax, or additional corporation tax, that would have been paid at corporation tax rates in force during the accounting period, were it not for the existence of the expenses charged to capital. For this purpose corporation tax is deemed to be the amount payable, if any, after set-off of overseas tax. It must be noted that it is tax relief, and not tax, that is being allocated. Therefore, the fact that the Investment Company is not in an overall tax paying position is not, of itself, a reason not to allocate tax relief on expenses.
Where an Investment Company is subject to corporation tax on items reflected in capital (e.g. on the disposal of interests in certain offshore funds), expenses charged or allocated to capital would be utilised against such items in priority to expenses charged or allocated to revenue for the purpose of the allocation of tax relief.

The calculation of the allocation of tax relief can be further complicated by the existence of excess management expenses brought forward from previous years.

It is fairly straightforward to track the amount of revenue and capital expenses which are allocated against taxable income on the marginal basis, and thereby to keep a record of the amount of revenue and capital expenses available for offset in future periods. The question, however, arises as to what to do with management expenses brought forward arising in periods before the marginal basis is adopted, which might have been allocated between revenue and capital on a variety of bases.

By examining past records, and by applying reasonable assumptions, an Investment Company should be able to establish the amount of excess management expenses brought forward which relates to expenses charged or allocated to revenue and the amount which relates to expenses charged or allocated to capital.

With regard to taxable revenue items, any excess management expenses brought forward that relate to expenses charged or allocated to revenue should be used in priority to either current year expenses charged or allocated to capital or excess management expenses brought forward relating to expenses charged or allocated to capital. The reverse priority is to be applied with regard to taxable capital items.

As this is such a complicated area, the reader should also refer to the Association’s separate guidance note on this recommendation (see Appendix A).
An **Investment Company** should provide for deferred tax and make such disclosures as required by **FRS 19**.

**Note:** **Investment Companies** are unique in the sense that they will normally be revaluing a substantial proportion of their **Investments** to **Fair Value** but such gains and losses will normally be neither taxable or relievable, even on realisation, due to an **Investment Company's** exemption from tax on capital gains as a result of it being approved as an investment trust or venture capital trust. In normal circumstances, an **Investment Company** will seek and obtain exempt status for each successive accounting period and therefore there should be no material deferred tax timing differences arising in respect of the revaluation or disposal of **Investments**.

Notwithstanding this, the reconciliation required by paragraph 64 (a) of **FRS 19** should be provided in respect of the **Investment Company’s** total profits (i.e. the total column of the Income Statement) for the reporting period. However, a note should be provided explaining that, due to the company’s status as an **Investment Company**, and its intention to continue meeting the conditions required to obtain approval in the foreseeable future, the company has not provided deferred tax on any capital gains and losses arising on the revaluation or disposal on **Investments**.

When gains and losses arise which are treated as capital in nature for accounting and legal purposes but are treated as income for tax purposes (e.g. overseas dividends recognised in capital, gains and losses arising on the disposal of interests in certain offshore funds etc) resulting in current or deferred tax charges arising in capital, or current or deferred tax charges or credits arise in capital for some other reason, it is considered that it would be helpful to the user of the accounts to provide an explanation of the circumstances giving rise to this tax charge or credit. For the purpose of assessing whether a disclosure of this nature should be given, no account should be taken of any credit or charge arising under paragraph 66 above.
X) ZERO DIVIDEND PREFERENCE SHARES (‘ZDPS’) IN ANOTHER ITC

68 Recognition of return from holding of ZDPS

The return to an ITC from a holding of Zero Dividend Preference Shares in another ITC, including that part of the return which arises through the movement of the market value over time towards the redemption value, should be recognised as a capital return and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement.

Note: an ITC may hold ZDPS in another ITC. Such shares are generally issued at a discount to the value at which they will be redeemed in order to compensate the holder for the absence of dividend.

The question arises as to whether the return on such shares, including the premium on redemption, is a capital return, shown in the capital column of the Income Statement, or an income return, shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement, or whether the return is a mixture of both capital and revenue.

The market value of such shares will move over time towards their redemption value but will also reflect a range of other factors, such as the prevailing level of interest rates, the risk attaching to the shares and political factors.

It could be argued that the premium paid on such shares is effectively rolled-up income deferred to redemption and therefore that the return should be recognised through revenue on the accruals basis. However, the return is designed to be capital in nature and the redemption of such shares is generally paid out of the accumulated capital reserves of the ITCs that have issued them; the holder of such shares generally having no entitlement to the revenue reserves of the ITC. Because the return on such shares is generally dependent on the capital performance of the ITCs that have issued them and there is no guarantee that there will be sufficient assets to pay the redemption value on liquidation, it is considered that the whole of the return on such shares is capital in nature.
XI) FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSACTIONS

69 Accounting basis

The provisions of FRS 23 should be applied by ITCs.

Note: where an Investment Company enters into transactions which are denominated in a foreign currency, the results of those transactions need to be translated into the currency in which the Investment Company reports. FRS 23 deals with foreign currency translation as it relates to the preparation of financial statements.

FRS 23 introduces the concept of an entity having a functional currency, which is the currency of the primary economic environment in which it operates, which may be different from its presentational currency, which is the currency in which the financial statements are presented.

Where an Investment Company carries out all, or predominately all, of its transactions in a foreign currency, perhaps because it is a ‘single country fund’, then it will need to determine, in accordance with the provisions of FRS 23, whether it should record its transactions, on initial recognition, in that foreign currency i.e. the foreign currency will become its functional currency.

However, in the context of an Investment Company, the indicators set out in FRS 23 will usually be mixed and the functional currency not obvious. In such circumstances paragraph 12 of FRS 23 states that the Board should use its judgement to determine the functional currency that most faithfully represents the economic effects of the underlying transactions, events and conditions.

In reaching its conclusion it is considered that the Board should have regard to the currency of the Investment Company’s investors and its share capital and the currency in which dividends and expenses are generally paid. In broad terms this would mean that the functional currency of the Investment Company, given its unique nature, would, other than in very rare circumstances, be the same as its presentational currency.
Foreign exchange differences should be recognised as capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement if they are of a capital nature, and recognised as revenue and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement if they are of a revenue nature.

Note: the question arises, in relation to the Financial Statements of an Investment Company, of whether to recognise a foreign exchange difference, arising through the application of the provisions of FRS 23, as a revenue or capital item.

For most transactions the position is clear-cut. Thus, the foreign exchange gain or loss arising on the retranslation of Investments which are denominated in a foreign currency is clearly of a capital nature. On the other hand, the exchange gain or loss arising between the date at which a dividend denominated in a foreign currency is recognised in the accounts and the date that the cash is received is clearly of a revenue nature.

For other transactions, the position may be less clear-cut. Where the transaction involves buying or selling a financial instrument it is necessary to determine whether the financial instrument falls within the definition of an Investment or meets the Hedge Accounting criteria, in order to determine whether changes in its value are of a capital or revenue nature.

Foreign exchange differences arising on transactions which meet the Hedge Accounting criteria should be accounted for in accordance with the recommendations set out in Section XII.

Foreign exchange costs, including bank charges and premiums and discounts, should be treated in the same manner as the transactions to which they relate.

The following should be disclosed in the Financial Statements:

(a) the accounting policy in respect of foreign exchange;

(b) foreign exchange gains or losses taken to revenue; and

(c) foreign exchange gains or losses taken to capital.

Note: the accounting policy disclosure should include reference to the Investment Company’s functional currency including details as to how the Board reached its decision.
XII) DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING

74 Derivatives - treatment of gains or losses

A derivative transaction should be accounted for in accordance with FRS 26.

With regards the location - capital or revenue - of recognition, gains or losses on derivative transactions should be recognised as capital and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement if they are of a capital nature, recognised as revenue and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement if they are of a revenue nature or apportioned between capital and revenue if they are of a mixed nature.

Where the derivative transaction meets the Hedge Accounting criteria, it should be dealt with in accordance with the recommendations set out in paragraph 75 below.

Note: Investment Companies enter into derivative transactions from time to time. As the range of transactions and situations which may arise in this area are very broad, the SORP does not seek to address them all; rather it puts forward principles to be applied.

Whether gains or losses on derivative transactions fall to be treated as capital or revenue will depend on the nature of the transaction. Both the underlying motives of the transaction and its circumstances are considered to be important in determining whether changes in its value are of a capital or revenue nature. Consequently, where, for example, the transaction has been entered into in order to generate or protect capital returns and the circumstances of the transaction support this, then any gains or losses should be recognised as capital and similarly for transactions entered into to generate or protect revenue returns where any gains or losses should be recognised as revenue. In some circumstances gains or losses may have to be apportioned between capital and revenue to reflect the nature of the transaction. Boards should ensure that an appropriate record of the motives and circumstances underlying each transaction is made.

The position with regard to options written by an Investment Company is set out in paragraph 43 above and the treatment where Hedge Accounting applies is set out in paragraph 75 below. Foreign currency transactions are dealt with in Section XI.
75  Hedging - treatment of gains or losses

Where a transaction is subject to **Hedge Accounting** then as regards the location - capital or revenue - of recognition, the gain or loss on the hedging instrument or hedge transaction should be treated consistently with the gain or loss on the position being hedged.

**Note:** *The rules relating to Hedge Accounting, including the documentation, accounting, effectiveness requirements and disclosure requirements, are set out in FRS 26.*

76  Fees and commissions

Fees and commissions earned by an **Investment Company** on transactions in derivative instruments should be recognised in accordance with the principles set out in paragraph 43 above.
XIII) STOCK LENDING ACTIVITIES

77 Treatment in financial statements

In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles on reporting the substance of transactions, securities transferred (or 'lent') by an Investment Company in a Stock Lending transaction should be included in the Financial Statements of the Investment Company as if they were owned by the Investment Company, and, except in the circumstances when it is cash not legally separated from the Investment Company’s assets, the collateral to which the Investment Company has title during the arrangement should be excluded from the Financial Statements of the Investment Company. Cash collateral not legally separated from the Investment Company’s assets should be recognised as an asset with a payable due to the borrower being recognised as a liability.

Note: Stock Lending is a common practice in developed securities markets, providing increased liquidity to market makers. Under a Stock Lending agreement, an Investment Company transfers securities to a counterparty for a fee, in return for which it is agreed that securities of the same kind and amount will be transferred back at a later date. Until the subsequent transfer takes place, the Investment Company generally receives collateral in the form of cash or liquid securities marginally in excess of the market value of the securities transferred.

Although legal title to the securities passes from the Investment Company during the transaction, the economic benefit remains and taken as a whole the arrangement has the substance of a secured loan of the Investment Company’s securities in return for a fee. During the life of the transaction the Investment Company retains the risks and rewards of ownership, since it continues to be exposed to fluctuations in market values and, in addition, it is compensated for any revenue return on the securities while they are on loan. Furthermore, the Investment Company is not exposed to any market risks attaching to the collateral and any income received by the Investment Company on the collateral is paid to the 'borrower'.

In order that users of the Financial Statements are aware of the extent to which an Investment Company’s securities are the subject of Stock Lending arrangements, disclosure should be made of:

(a) the aggregate value of the securities on loan at the balance sheet date;

(b) the maximum aggregate value of securities on loan at any time during the accounting period;

(c) the nature and value of the collateral held by the Investment Company in respect of securities on loan either at the balance sheet date or at any time during the period under review where, in respect of any particular transaction, the value of the collateral is, or was at anytime during the period under review, less than the value of the securities lent; and

(d) any fee income derived from Stock Lending activities during the period.
XIV) SEGMENTAL REPORTING

79 SSAP 25 disclosure requirements

Where Investment Companies have different 'classes of business' or 'geographical segments', as defined in SSAP 25, they should disclose segmental information in accordance with the provisions of SSAP 25.

Note: SSAP 25 contains provisions relating to the statutory segmental disclosure requirements contained in the Accounts and Reports Regulations. All companies, including Investment Companies, are required to comply with these provisions. SSAP 25 also contains segmental disclosure provisions which are not required by the Accounts and Reports Regulations, and these provisions apply, inter alia, to any entity that is a public limited company.

The statutory provisions and the additional provisions contained within SSAP 25 require the segmental reporting of information on turnover, results and net assets in respect of different 'classes of business' and different 'geographical segments'. These two terms are defined in SSAP 25 as follows:

'A class of business is a distinguishable component of an entity that provides a separate product or service or a separate group of related products or services'; and

'A geographical segment is a geographical area comprising an individual country or group of countries in which an entity operates, or to which it supplies products or services'.

An Investment Company's investment activity does not constitute the provision of a product or service for the purposes of the SSAP 25 definitions of 'class of business' and 'geographical segment' and, therefore, Investment Companies that carry out only investment activity do not have different 'classes of business' or 'geographical segments' (as regards geographical areas to which products or services are supplied). Such Investment Companies may, however, carry out activities (possibly through subsidiary undertakings) in addition to their investment activity - for example, the provision of leasing services, fund management services and management services to venture capital investee undertakings etc. If any of these is the case an Investment Company may be required to disclose segmental information in accordance with the provisions of SSAP 25.
Whether or not Investment Companies have different ‘classes of business’ or ‘geographical segments’, they should nevertheless disclose in the Financial Statements, unless they are fully described elsewhere in the annual report, the following analyses and information (in addition to those required by the Listing Rules and other relevant provisions):

(a) a broad geographical analysis of the undertakings whose securities are held in the portfolio;

(b) an analysis of total income between income from Investments and other income; and an analysis of each of these components into material subcomponents; and

(c) the detailed disclosures set out below.

Note: an Investment Company should disclose a list of all investments held at the balance sheet date with a value greater than 5% of its portfolio and at least the ten largest investments including the value of each investment and, with respect to any Unquoted Investments included in the list:

a) a brief description of its business;

b) the proportion of capital owned or potentially owned if significantly different;

c) the cost of the investment and aggregate market value, if any, at the latest practicable date;

d) total income recognised by the Investment Company in the reporting period, including dividends (highlighting any abnormal dividends) and other amounts;

e) turnover and pre-tax profits for the latest audited financial year; and

f) net assets attributable to shareholders as at the date of the latest audited balance sheet, distinguishing if appropriate between the net assets attributable to different classes of share.

Where relevant, comparative figures should also be provided.

In addition to the disclosures described above, with regard to the Unquoted Investments included in the list a VCT should provide details of the voting rights attributable to the shares owned, the method of valuation applied and additional brief details of the results and assets and liabilities taken from the investee company’s most recent accounts.
VCTs should also provide details of any investments made in any company in which other funds managed by the same investment manager have also invested.

Investment Companies should also provide an analysis of the investment portfolio between equity shares, convertible securities, fixed income securities and other investments.

The analyses required by the Listing Rules are:

- a comprehensive and meaningful analysis of its portfolio (15.6.2); and
- an analysis of income between dividends, interest and other forms of income (15.6.7).

Disclosure requirements relating to financial instruments are also set out in FRS 29. These include the methods and assumptions used in determining fair value of each class of financial asset, a sensitivity analysis for each type of market risk to which the Investment Company is exposed and hedges as described in FRS 26.
Where the requirements of FRS 12 dictate that a provision should be made, the charge should be recognised in capital, and shown in the capital column of the Income Statement, if the provision relates to a present obligation which is of a capital nature and in revenue, and shown in the revenue column of the Income Statement, if it is of a revenue nature.

Note: as part of its investment activity an Investment Company may, for example, enter into arrangements to underwrite the obligations of third parties, or to provide finance to an investee undertaking or a third party. Such arrangements may include the following:

(a) underwriting commitments;
(b) placing commitments;
(c) commitments to provide additional funds to investee undertakings;
(d) the provision of guarantees and comfort letters to third parties; or
(e) other contingencies, guarantees and financial commitments.

In addition, an Investment Company may enter into similar arrangements as part of its general activities.

The Board will need to consider whether, in accordance with the terms of FRS 12, such arrangements give rise to a ‘present obligation that can be reliably estimated and that probably requires a transfer of economic benefits in settlement’ and consequently whether a provision needs to be recognised.

Some such arrangements may also fall within the scope of FRS 26 and will need to be considered in that context.
Disclosure of items not provided for

In complying with the relevant provisions of the Companies Act 2006 and FRS 12, contingencies, guarantees and financial commitments which have not been provided for should each be classified and disclosed if required.

Note: the terms of FRS 12 are such that many arrangements commonly entered into by Investment Companies will not require disclosure. It is expected that most items classifiable under the headings a), b), c) and d) set out in the explanatory note to paragraph 81 above will not need to be disclosed. With regard to items within category e), any arrangement will need to meet the criteria of FRS 12 before it becomes disclosable.

Some such arrangements may also fall within the scope of FRS 26 and will need to be considered in that context.
XVI) WARRANTS

83 Warrants and warrant reserves

Warrants issued by an Investment Company should be classified as equity and the net proceeds shown as a warrant reserve and included as part of equity holders’ funds.

If a warrant issued by an Investment Company is exercised then the amount previously recognised in respect of the warrant should be transferred to a separate, non-distributable reserve, under shareholders’ funds.

If a warrant issued by an Investment Company lapses unexercised then the amount previously recognised in respect of the warrant should be reclassified as a realised gain.

If a warrant issued by an Investment Company is repurchased and then cancelled, the amount previously recognised in respect of the warrant, less the costs of the repurchase, should be reclassified as a realised gain.

Note: in accordance with the requirements of FRS 25, warrants will normally fall to be classified as equity and any consideration received or paid will be added or deducted directly to or from equity. It follows that any transactions or capital events relating to warrants will not be reflected in the profit and loss account or STRGL, but rather will be reported in the RMSF statement.

Where warrants are issued at the same time as other capital instruments in a composite transaction each element, where they are capable of being transferred, cancelled or redeemed separately, is required to be accounted for separately and any proceeds allocated to the warrants should be credited to a ‘warrant reserve.’ Subsequent changes in the Fair Value of warrants classified as equity are not recognised in the Financial Statements.
Treasury Shares should be accounted for in accordance with the requirements of FRS 25.

**Note:** FRS 25 states that the cost of a company purchasing its own equity shares which are then held in treasury (‘Treasury Shares’) should be deducted from equity (that is shareholders’ funds).

With regard to disclosure in the company’s Financial Statements, the Treasury Shares will have been purchased out of distributable profits (usually capital profits for an Investment Company) and therefore the relevant reserve should be reduced; with the reduced figure being shown on the face of the balance sheet. Movements in the reserve during the period will be shown in the RMSF statement and the notes to the accounts as appropriate. As a result of this presentation, the company will not also be showing on the face of the balance sheet a separate debit reserve, which would probably be the case under the Accounts and Reports Regulations if the cost of the Treasury Shares was to be shown separately, as this will lead to double-counting.

In addition, notwithstanding that Treasury Shares have no entitlement to dividends or other distributions and that they may be excluded for net asset value calculation purposes, a company’s issued share capital should not be reduced to reflect any Treasury Shares until they have been cancelled.
XVIII) INTERIM AND OTHER ACCOUNTS

85 Requirements

The recommendations contained within the SORP apply to the Annual Financial Statements of Investment Companies. However, in addition, an Investment Company is required by law to prepare a half-yearly financial report covering the first six months of each financial year; the Investment Company must follow the same principles for recognising and measuring as when preparing its annual financial report. It is considered that the half-yearly financial report of an Investment Company should include, as a minimum, a management report, a condensed Income Statement, a condensed balance sheet, a condensed cash flow statement, a STRGL (where relevant gains or losses have been recognised in the period) and a RMSF statement plus comparative figures.

The principles set out in the SORP also apply to accounts prepared in order to meet the requirements of Sections 838 and 839 of the Companies Act 2006.

Note: although the figures presented will be determined in accordance with the principles set out in the SORP, interim and initial accounts prepared in accordance with the requirements set out in Sections 838 and 839 respectively of the Companies Act 2006 are for a specific purpose, and the full disclosures set out in the SORP are not required.

The requirement to prepare a half-yearly financial report is contained in the Disclosure and Transparency Rules issued by the FSA. The ASB has issued guidance to preparers of such reports (Statement ‘Half-Yearly Financial Reports’ issued in July 2007). In addition to the disclosures mentioned above, the guidance states that appropriate figures should be provided on the face of the primary statements or by way of note and supplementary information should be given in the circumstances described therein.
Appendix A

Guidance Note with illustrative examples relating to paragraph 66

This appendix is illustrative only and does not form part of the SORP. The purpose of the appendix is to provide guidance and illustrative examples on the application of paragraph 66 of the SORP to assist in clarifying its meaning.
Background

Paragraph 66 of the Statement of Recommended Practice: Financial Statements of Investment Trust Companies and Venture Capital Trusts ("SORP") deals with the allocation of tax relief on expenses. This Guidance Note supplements the recommendation of paragraph 66 and its accompanying explanatory note.

The Association agrees to abide by the Accounting Standards Board’s ("ASB’s") code of practice for SORP-issuing bodies, as set out in the ASB's July 2000 Statement SORPs: Policy and Code of Practice ("code of practice"). This permits the Association, as a SORP-issuing body, to provide informal guidance under certain circumstances.

The Association’s SORP Working Party believes that informal guidance on this issue would be appropriate under paragraph 19(c) of the code of practice. The overall aim of this guidance is to assist practitioners in the preparation of the financial statements. It should be recognised that this guidance does not form part of the SORP, nor has it been reviewed by the ASB. It is intended to explain and illustrate what the recommendation of the SORP is intended to achieve, and how it could be applied to certain specific situations, but does not carry the authority of the SORP.

Expenses

In this Guidance Note, the term "expenses" refers only to expenses which are deductible for corporation tax purposes. Expenses which have been charged in an investment trust company’s or venture capital trust’s ("Investment Company’s") financial statements which are non-deductible for corporation tax purposes are irrelevant for the purposes of allocating tax relief and therefore have been ignored.

The terms "revenue expenses" and "capital expenses" refer to expenses which are charged to revenue and capital (and shown in the revenue and capital columns of the Income Statement respectively) in the financial statements of an Investment Company.

Basic Principle

The basic principle lying behind the recommendation in paragraph 66 of the SORP is that tax relief should be allocated under what is commonly referred to as the "marginal basis". This means that, in calculating how much tax relief should be allocated, revenue expenses are matched first against taxable income reflected in the revenue column of the Income Statement...
and capital expenses are matched first against taxable income reflected in the capital column of the Income Statement. Tax relief is only allocated to capital to the extent that capital expenses (if any remain after offsetting these expenses against taxable capital items) are required to reduce or eliminate the company’s taxable profits. Vice versa, tax relief is only allocated to revenue to the extent that revenue expenses (if any remain after offsetting these expenses against taxable revenue items) are required to reduce or eliminate the company’s taxable profits.

It should be noted that paragraph 66 refers to the allocation of tax relief, not to the allocation of tax, and therefore the fact that the company is not in an overall taxpaying position is not, of itself, a reason not to allocate tax relief on expenses.

In practice (as the majority of taxable income will normally arise on revenue items), any allocation of tax relief will result in a debit being charged to revenue and a corresponding credit to capital. For the remainder of this paper it has been assumed that this is the case.

However, there is no reason in principle (for example, in a year when a substantial taxable gain arises in capital) why the allocation of tax relief should not operate in the opposite direction (i.e. a credit to revenue and a debit to capital).

**Basic Calculation**

The basic way of calculating the amount of tax relief that should be allocated to capital is to perform two separate "tax calculations":

- the UK corporation tax that is payable by the company including all expenses, whether charged to revenue or capital (Step 1).

- the UK corporation tax that would be payable by the company if it were to ignore all capital expenses except to the extent that capital expenses can be used to offset taxable income arising in capital (Step 2).

In both cases, the amount of UK corporation tax payable is that calculated using the corporation tax rates in force during the accounting period on the level of profits determined under the above principles. The difference between these two figures represents the amount of tax relief attributable to expenses charged to capital and therefore is the amount that should be allocated to capital under paragraph 66 of the SORP.

**Note:** in some cases, depending on the level of profits, the rate of corporation tax that would be applied to the company’s profits in Step 1 will be lower than that in Step 2 (e.g. because the company’s profits chargeable to corporation tax in Step 1 fall within the small companies or starting rate bands). However, as the company would be paying the higher rate of tax were it not for the existence of the other expenses, this properly reflects the tax relief that is attributable to these expenses and therefore this difference should be reflected in the allocation of tax relief to capital.
a) No allocation of tax relief

Example 1

An Investment Company has the following items of income and expense in its first accounting period. It will be paying corporation tax at 30% on its profits chargeable to corporation tax (as will be the case in all examples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable income</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fee</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>(450,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case revenue expenses (£950,000) exceed taxable income and therefore, in accordance with paragraph 66 of the SORP, no allocation of tax relief to capital is required.

b) Allocation of tax relief

Example 2

An Investment Company has the following items of income and expense in its first accounting period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable income</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fee</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>(200,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 1 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Including all expenses**

£

- Taxable Income 900,000
- Less revenue expenses (700,000)
- Less capital expenses (200,000)
- Profits chargeable to corporation tax NIL
- UK corporation tax payable at 30% NIL
Step 2 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Ignoring capital expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less revenue expenses</td>
<td>(700,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
<td>(200,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between these two figures is £60,000 and this is the amount that should be allocated to capital in accordance with paragraph 66 of the SORP.

Taxable Income Arising in Capital Account

In most cases, taxable income only arises on revenue items. Where taxable income arises on capital items (e.g. gains on the disposal of interests in certain offshore funds) capital expenses are taken into account in Step 2 to the extent that these can be offset against taxable income arising in capital.

Example 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable income</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fee</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>(200,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 1 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Including all expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less revenue expenses</td>
<td>(700,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less capital expenses</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Step 2 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Ignoring capital expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income (excluding taxable income included in capital offset by expenses charged to capital)</td>
<td>£900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less revenue expenses</td>
<td>(700,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
<td>£200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
<td>£60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between these two figures is £30,000 and this is the amount that should be allocated to capital in accordance with paragraph 66 of the SORP.

Note: if, in the above example, capital expenses were only £300,000 then no allocation of tax relief would be necessary as these capital expenses would be fully offset against taxable income arising in capital.

Excess Management Expenses Brought Forward

Very often, an Investment Company’s management expenses will exceed its taxable income. As a result, the Investment Company will be left with excess management expenses which are available to carry forward to offset against taxable income arising in future periods. Such expenses should be classified as either revenue or capital expenses and a memorandum kept of the aggregate amount carried forward of each type.

In calculating the allocation of tax relief to capital in future years, expenses should be allocated in the following order:

Location of Taxable Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order of Offset</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Current year revenue expenses</td>
<td>Current year capital expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Brought forward revenue expenses</td>
<td>Brought forward capital expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Current year capital expenses</td>
<td>Current year revenue expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Brought forward capital expenses</td>
<td>Brought forward revenue expenses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 4

The facts are the same as for Example 2. In the company’s second accounting period, it has the following income and expenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxable income</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fee</td>
<td>(300,000) (300,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>(200,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company has £300,000 of excess management expenses brought forward from its first accounting period and these will be recorded as capital expenses for the purposes of allocating tax relief in future periods.

**Step 1 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Including all expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year revenue expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year capital expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less brought forward capital expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 2 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Ignoring capital expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year revenue expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between these two figures is £120,000 and this is the amount that should be allocated to capital in accordance with paragraph 66 of the SORP. The company has £200,000 of capital expenses to carry forward to future periods.

When an existing Investment Company first applies the SORP’s recommendations, it will have to establish how much of its brought forward expenses are revenue expenses and how much capital expenses. This, in turn, will depend on the basis (or bases) of allocation that it has used in previous accounting periods. This will require examining past records and possibly applying reasonable assumptions.
In practice, an Investment Company which has, in the past, adopted a basis of allocating tax relief which has resulted in a higher level of tax relief being allocated to capital will find itself with more revenue expenses carried forward and/or less capital expenses carried forward than an otherwise identical company that previously adopted a basis of allocating tax relief which resulted in a lower level of tax relief being allocated to capital.

Example 5

The facts are the same as for Example 2 and Example 4, except that the accounting period in Example 2 relates to a period prior to the introduction of the SORP, when the company was adopting the “proportional method” of allocating tax relief (i.e. allocating tax relief evenly across all expenses with no order of priority).

The total amount of expenses carried forward will remain the same, namely £300,000. However, under the proportional method, the amount of revenue and capital expenses carried forward to the second accounting period would be in the same proportion as the ratio of expenses charged between revenue and capital account in the first accounting period. Therefore, as £500,000 out of a total of £1,200,000 expenses have been charged to capital during the first accounting period, a total of £500,000 / £1,200,000 x £300,000 = £125,000 of the expenses carried forward would be recorded as capital expenses. The balance of £175,000 would be recorded as revenue expenses carried forward.

Having calculated this, the allocation of tax relief for the second accounting period would now be:

**Step 1 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Including all expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year revenue expenses</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less brought forward revenue expenses</td>
<td>(175,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year capital expenses</td>
<td>(225,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 2 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Ignoring capital expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year revenue expenses</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less brought forward revenue expenses</td>
<td>(175,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
<td>225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
<td>67,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The difference between these two figures is £67,500 and this is the amount that should be allocated to capital in accordance with paragraph 66 of the SORP. The company has £200,000 of capital expenses carried forward to future accounting periods. This is made up of the £125,000 of brought forward capital expenses and £75,000 of current year capital expenses.

**Overseas Tax**

The existence of overseas tax can further complicate the position in respect of allocating tax relief, as the tax treatment of overseas tax can vary according to whether the company would be in an overall taxpaying position or not. Where a company is in a taxpaying position, it would offset overseas tax against its corporation tax liability. When it is in a non-taxpaying position, it will normally use the overseas tax to reduce taxable income in order to preserve expenses carried forward.

**Example 6**

An Investment Company has the following items of income and expense in its first accounting period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taxable income</strong> (overseas dividends of £1,000,000 paid under deduction of 15% withholding tax)</td>
<td>£1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fee</td>
<td>(£500,000) (£500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>(£200,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 1 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Including all expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income (withholding tax treated as expense)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year revenue expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year capital expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Step 2 - UK Corporation Tax Payable - Ignoring capital expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxable Income</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current year revenue expenses</td>
<td>(700,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits chargeable to corporation tax</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable at 30%</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less double tax relief</td>
<td>(90,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK corporation tax payable</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between these two figures is nil and therefore no allocation of tax relief to capital is required. The company has £350,000 of capital expenses to carry forward.

### Assumptions

Although the offsetting of certain expenses against taxable income is automatic, the offsetting of other expenses and other forms of tax relief (e.g. finance costs, group relief etc.) are at the option of the company. At the time of the preparation of the financial statements, it may not be entirely clear which of these options will be the most tax efficient for the company. In calculating the amount of tax relief that should be allocated, reasonable assumptions should be made and, to the extent that future events dictate a different course of action, adjustments should be made in future accounting periods to reflect the actual position. The same principle applies if there is income or expenses whose taxability/deductibility is uncertain.

### Conclusion

This Guidance Note is not intended to be prescriptive and has been issued to help clarify the intention lying behind the recommendation in paragraph 66 of the SORP and to aid practitioners in applying the recommendation. In addition, Investment Companies may find themselves confronted with situations and issues that are not covered by this Guidance Note. Where such situations arise, it is hoped that they will apply the recommendation of paragraph 66 in accordance with the spirit of its intention and, if appropriate, provide additional disclosures.